arXiv:1603.09083v1 [math.AG] 30 Mar 2016

SURVEY ON THE GEOMETRIC BOGOMOLOV CONJECTURE

KAZUHIKO YAMAKI

Abstract. This is a survey paper of the developments on the geometric Bogomolov conjecture. We explain the recent results by the author as well as previous works concerning the conjecture. This paper also includes an introduction to the height theory over function fields and a quick review on basic notions on non-archimedean analytic geometry.

1. Introduction

The Bogomolov conjecture is a problem in Diophantine geometry; it is a conjecture which should characterize the closed subvarieties with a dense set of points of small height. There are several versions of the Bogomolov conjecture. The conjectures for curves and for abelian varieties are widely studied problems among others, and the former is a special case of the latter.

The Bogomolov conjectures are considered both over number fields and over function fields. When we consider the conjecture over function fields with respect to classical heights, we call it the *geometric* Bogomolov conjecture. When we do that over number fields, we sometimes call it the arithmetic Bogomolov conjecture.

The arithmetic Bogomolov conjectures for curves and for abelian varieties have been already established as theorems; that for curves is due to Ullmo [36], and that for abelian varieties is due to Zhang [46]. On the other hand, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties is still open. However, there are some significant partial works, such as [15, 39, 40, 41, 42]. Recently, using those results concerning the conjecture for abelian varieties, we have shown in [42] that the conjecture for curves holds in full generality.

The purpose of this survey is to give an exposition of the recent developments of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties and to describe the idea of the proof of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves.

1.1. Notation and conventions.

We put together the notation and conventions that will be used throughout this article.

1.1.1. *Varieties.* Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be any field. A variety over $\mathfrak{K}$ means a geometrically integral scheme that is separated and of finite type over $\mathfrak{K}$.

For a variety X over $\mathfrak{K}$, let $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ denote the function field of X.

1.1.2. *Abelian varieties.* Let A be an abelian variety over any field $\mathfrak{K}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $[n] : A \to A$ denote the n-times endomorphism on A, i.e., the morphism given by $x \mapsto nx$.

Let L be a line bundle on A. We say that L is *even* if $[-1]^*(L) \cong L$. This property is sometimes said to be "symmetric".

Date: 26/March/2016, 21:59 GMT+9:00. Version 1.0.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G40, Secondary 11G50.

1.1.3. *Models.* Let R be any ring. Let X be a scheme over R. Let S be a scheme with a dominant morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to S$. A *model over S of X* is a morphism of schemes $\mathcal{X} \to S$ equipped with an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \times_S \mathrm{Spec}(R) \cong X$. A model is said to be *projective* (resp. *proper*, *flat*) if the morphism $\mathcal{X} \to S$ is projective (resp. proper, flat). Furthermore, let L be a line bundle on X. A *model over S of (X, L)* is a pair of a model $\mathcal{X}$ over S of X and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ with an identification $\mathcal{L}|_X = L$, where $\mathcal{L}|_X$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}$ by the morphism $X \to \mathcal{X}$ induced from the given identification $\mathcal{X} \times_S \mathrm{Spec}(R) \cong X$.

Furthermore, let R_0 be a subring of R. We say that X *can be defined over* R_0 if there exists a model over R_0 of X.

1.1.4. *Ground fields.* Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a projective normal variety over k with $\dim(B) \geq 1$. If $\dim(B) \geq 2$, then we fix an ample line bundle $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathfrak{B}$, which is needed to define height in this case.

Let K denote the function field of $\mathfrak{B}$ or a number field finite over $\mathbb{Q}$. We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K. Any finite extension of K should taken in $\overline{K}$.

1.2. **Background and history.** The geometric Bogomolov conjecture is not an isolated topic; there are a lot of related topics and previous results. Let us begin with its back ground, together with brief historical notes.

1.2.1. *Manin–Mumford conjecture.* According to Lang [19], Manin and Mumford conjectured around 1963 (independently) the following. Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Assume that $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}) = 0$. Let C be a smooth projective curve over $\mathfrak{K}$ of genus $g \geq 2$. Fix a divisor D on C of degree 1 and let $\jmath_D : C \to J_C$ be the embedding of C into its Jacobian J_C given by $\jmath_D(x) = x - D$. Then the set

$$\{x \in C(\mathfrak{K}) \mid \jmath(x) \in J_C(\mathfrak{K})_{tor}\}$$

is finite, where $J_C(\mathfrak{K})_{tor}$ is the set of torsion points of $J_C(\mathfrak{K})$. We call this conjecture the *Manin–Mumford conjecture* for curves over $\mathfrak{K}$.

1.2.2. *Bogomolov conjecture for curves.* Inspired by the conjecture by Manin and Mumford, Bogomolov proposed in 1980 an arithmetic analogue of the conjecture (cf. [6]), which is now called the *Bogomolov conjecture for curves*. Let K be a number field or a function field. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K. Let C be a smooth projective curve over $\overline{K}$ of genus $g \geq 2$. Let h_{NT} be the Néron–Tate height on J_C. Remark that h_{NT} is a positive semidefinite quadratic form on the additive group $J_C(\overline{K})$. For each real number ϵ, set

$$C(\epsilon) := \{x \in C(\mathfrak{K}) \mid h_{NT}(\jmath(x)) \leq \epsilon\}$$

When K is a function field, assume that C is non-isotrivial. Then the Bogomolov conjecture for C asserts that there should exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $C(\epsilon)$ is finite.

The Bogomolov conjecture for curves over number fields generalizes the Manin–Mumford conjecture for curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Indeed, since h_{NT} is a quadratic form, we have $h_{NT}(\tau) = 0$ for any $\tau \in J_C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})_{tor}$. It follows that

$$\{x \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \jmath(x) \in J_C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})_{tor}\} \subset C(\epsilon)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. This shows that the Manin–Mumford conjecture over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is deduced from the Bogomolov conjecture over number fields.

1.2.3. *Raynaud's theorem.* In 1983, Raynaud proved that the Manin–Mumford conjecture holds. In fact, he proved the following.

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [31])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Assume that* $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}) = 0$*. Let A be an abelian variety over $\mathfrak{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A of dimension* 1*. Suppose that X is not a torsion subvariety. Then $X \cap A(\overline{K})_{tor}$ is a finite set.*

Here, *torsion subvariety* is by definition the translate of an abelian subvariety by a torsion point. The above theorem generalizes the Manin–Mumford conjecture for curves, because a smooth projective curve of genus at least 2 embedded in its Jacobian is not a torsion subvariety.

In the same year, Raynaud generalized Theorem 1.1 to obtain the following theorem, which is often also called the Manin–Mumford conjecture.

Theorem 1.2 (Raynaud's theorem, cf. [32])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Assume that* $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}) = 0$*. Let A be an abelian variety over $\mathfrak{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X is not a torsion subvariety. Then $X \cap A(\overline{K})_{tor}$ is not Zariski dense in X.*

1.2.4. *Admissible paring and some results.* While the Manin–Mumford conjecture had been established in a generalized form, the Bogomolov conjecture for curves was still open at that time.

Let K be a number field or the function field of $\mathfrak{B}$ with $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$. In [44], Zhang defined an *admissible pairing* $(\cdot, \cdot)_a$ on a curve and introduced the admissible dualizing sheaf ω_a. Further, he proved that the Bogomolov conjecture for a curve C amounts to the positivity of the admissible self-pairing $(\omega_a, \omega_a)_a$ of ω_a on C. In that Zhang's paper, this admissible pairing can be described as the self-intersection of the relative dualizing sheaf of the stable model of the curve minus a positive number arising from a combinatoric date of the dual graphs of the singular fibers of the stable model.

By using Zhang's admissible paring, some partial affirmative to the Bogomolov conjecture for curves over function fields (of transcendence degree 1), such as [44, 23, 24, 25, 26, 37, 38]. However, only partial results were obtained.

1.2.5. *Ullmo's theorem and Zhang's theorem.* In 1998, Ullmo finally proved that the Bogomolov conjecture over number fields holds.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that K is a number field. Let C and $C(\epsilon)$ be as in § 1.2.2. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $C(\epsilon)$ is finite.*

The proof is *not* given by showing the positivity of the admissible pairing. It is obtained by a different approach, namely, the equidistribution of small points due to Szpiro, Ullmo, and Zhang [35].

Just after Ullmo established the above theorem, Zhang proved the following generalized version of the Bogomolov conjecture over number fields. To state that, we fix a notation. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be an even ample line bundle on A (cf. § 1.1.2). Let $\widehat{h}_L$ be the canonical height on A associated to L; see § 2. It is a semipositive definite quadratic form on $A(\overline{K})$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. For any real number ϵ, set $X(\epsilon; L)$ to be $\{x \in X(\overline{K}) \mid \widehat{h}_L(x) \leq \epsilon\}$.

Theorem 1.4 (Corollary 3 of [46]). *Assume that K is a number field. Let A, L, and X be as above. Suppose that $X(\epsilon; L)$ is dense in X for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then X is a torsion subvariety.*

This theorem generalizes Ullmo's theorem. Indeed, let C be a smooth projective curve over $\overline{K}$. With the notation in § 1.2.2, consider the case where $A = J_C$ and $X = \jmath(C)$. Then h_{NT} is the canonical height associated to a symmetric theta divisor (cf. Remark 2.10), and thus one easily sees that the Ullmo's theorem is a part of Zhang's theorem. Remark that this Zhang's theorem generalizes Raynaud's theorem over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The proof uses the equidistribution theory, same as the proof of Ullmo's theorem. We will give an outline of the proof of Zhang in the sequel.

1.2.6. *Moriwaki's generalization and the Manin–Mumford conjecture.* In 2000, Moriwaki generalized Zhang's theorem. Let F be a finitely generated field over $\mathbb{Q}$. Moriwaki constructed in [27] arithmetic height functions over F. There, the pair of an arithmetic variety with function field F and an arithmetic line bundle on the arithmetic variety is called a polarization of F, and the polarization is said to be big if the arithmetic line bundle is big. Once a polarization of F is fixed, Moriwaki's arithmetic height over F is defined. He proved basic properties of this height, and he constructed the canonical height on abelian varieties. Furthermore, he establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem B of [27]). *Let F be a finitely generated field over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{F}$ and let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Fix an big polarization on F, and let $\widehat{h}_L^{arith}$ be the canonical height on A associated to L. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the set*

$$\left\{ x \in X(\overline{F}) \mid \widehat{h}_L^{arith}(x) \leq \epsilon \right\}$$

is dense in X. Then X is a torsion subvariety.

This Moriwaki's theorem generalizes Raynaud's theorem over any algebraically closed field; we omit the detail here but will see an analogous argument in § 12.

We notice that the arithmetic height arising from a big polarization, which is used in Moriwaki's theorem above, is different from the classical "geometric" heights over function field. Therefore, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture, which will be formulated in the sequel, is a different kind of problem to Moriwaki's theorem.

1.2.7. *Gubler's theorem.* Let K be any function field (cf. § 1.1.4). In 2007, Gubler established a result over a function field which is analogous to Zhang's theorem, under the assumption that the abelian variety is totally degenerate at some place. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. We say that A is *totally degenerate at some place* if there exists a codimension one point v of $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfying the following condition: There exist a discrete valuation ring $R' \subset \overline{K}$ that dominates $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B},v}$ and a group scheme $\mathscr{A}^\circ \to \operatorname{Spec}(R')$ with geometric generic fiber A such that the spacial fiber $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}^\circ}$ is an algebraic torus; see also § 3.2 for details on degeneracy of abelian varieties.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 1.1 of [15]). *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L an even ample line bundle on A. Assume that A is totally degenerate at some place of K. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that $X(\epsilon; L)$ is dense in X. Then X is a torsion subvariety.*

The proof is given by a non-archimedean analogue of the the proof of Ullmo and Zhang. It is a quite important argument. We will explain it later in detail.

1.2.8. *Cinkir's theorem.* In 2011, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves was proved by Cinkir under the assumption that $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ and that K is the function field of a curve, i.e., $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$; see [9, Theorem 2.13].

To explain Cinkir's proof, let K be a function field of a curve and let C be a smooth projective curve over $\overline{K}$ of genus $g \geq 2$. In 2010, Zhang proved a new description of the admissible pairing of the admissible dualizing sheaf (cf. [47]). This description uses the height of Gross–Schoen cycles. More precisely, he showed that the admissible pairing of the admissible dualizing sheaf equals the sum of the height of the Gross–Schoen cycles and the "φ-invariants" of the dual graphs of the semistable model of the curve. It is known that if $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, the the height of Gross–Schoen cycle is non-negative, so that under the assumption of $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, the Bogomolov conjecture amounts to the positivity of φ-invariants. In 2011, after contributions on the study of graph invariants by many authors, Cinkir proved that the φ-invariants for non-trivial graphs are positive. Since the case where every reduction graph is trivial, that is, the case of everywhere good reduction case had been known, this proved the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves over such a $\overline{K}$.

However, that is not the finial answer to the conjecture. Cinkir's theorem needs the assumption that $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$ and $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$. The first assumption is needed because Zhang's description in [47] of the admissible pairing is obtained under this assumption. The second assumption on the characteristic is more crucial. If $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, the positivity of the Gross–Schoen cycle follows from the Hodge index theorem, but if otherwise, the Hodge index theorem, which is a part of the standard conjecture, is not known. Therefore the positivity of the φ-constants is not enough for the positivity of the admissible pairing, and thus the Bogomolov conjecture in positive characteristic cannot be deduced in the same way.

1.3. **Geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties.** It is natural to ask whether or not the same statement holds for any abelian varieties over function fields, but in fact, the same does not holds in general. For example, suppose that B is a constant abelian variety, that is, $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ for some abelian variety $\widetilde{B}$ over k. Let Y be a constant closed subvariety of B, that is, $Y := \widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ for some closed subvariety $\widetilde{Y} \subset \widetilde{B}$. Then $\widetilde{Y}(k)$, which is naturally a subset of $Y(\overline{K})$, is dense in Y, and for any point y of this set, we have $\widehat{h}_M(y) = 0$, where M is an even ample line bundle on B (cf. Example 2.11). Furthermore, if $\phi : B \to A$ is a homomorphism of abelian varieties, then we see that $\phi(\widetilde{Y}(k))$ is a dense subset of $\phi(Y)$ and that for any $x \in \phi(\widetilde{Y}(k))$ we have $\widehat{h}_L(x) = 0$, where L is an even ample line bundle on A (cf. Remark 2.12). This suggests that an abelian variety over a function field in general has non-torsion closed subvarieties with a dense set of height 0 points.

While we cannot expect the same statements as Zhang's theorem holds for any abelian variety over function fields, it is natural to ask how can we characterize the closed subvarieties X such that $X(\epsilon; L)$ is dense in X for any $\epsilon > 0$. In 2013, we proposed in [39] a conjecture that the "special subvarieties" should be the only such closed subvarieties. The special subvarieties are the subvarieties which are the sum of a torsion subvariety and a closed subvariety which is the image of a constant closed subvariety of a constant abelian variety. To be precise, let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. We

say that X is *special* if there exist a torsion subvariety T of A, a constant abelian variety $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$, a constant closed subvariety $Y = \widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ of B, and a homomorphism $\phi : B \to A$ such that $X = \phi(Y) + T$. Remark that in this definition of special subvarieties, we take any constant abelian variety B, but it is enough to consider the universal one among a homomorphisms from constant abelian varieties to A, which is called the $\overline{K}/k$ trace; refer to § 3.3 for details.

It is easy to see that if X is a special subvariety, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $X(\epsilon; L)$ is dense in X. (cf. Remark 3.3). The *geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties* asserts that the converse should also hold.

Conjecture 1.7 (cf. Conjecture 0.3 of [39])**.** Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$, where K is a function field. Let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $X(\epsilon; L)$ is dense in X. Then X should be a special subvariety.

The geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties are still open, but the study of this conjecture has been developed, and there are significant partial answers. Gubler's theorem is of course an important one. In [39, 40, 41, 42, 43], we have generalized Gubler's theorem, seeing that the conjecture holds for a large class of abelian varieties. They are main topics of this paper, and we will explain them in detail in this article (cf. Theorems 3.10, 11.3, and 11.4).

By virtue of the development of the study of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties, we have very recently proved that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves in full generality. More generally, we have shown the following.

Theorem 1.8 (cf. Theorem 1.3 of [42])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Assume that* $\dim(X) = 1$*. Then if X has dense small points, then X is a special subvariety.*

It is easy to see that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves is deduced from the above theorem. Indeed, in the setting of the conjecture for curves, put $X := \jmath(C)$. Then $\dim(X) = 1$, and since C is non-isotrivial, X is non-special. Remark that the Néron–Tate height on the Jacobian is the canonical height associated to the theta divisor. By Theorem 1.8, it follows that X does not have dense small points. This means $C(\epsilon)$ is not dense for some $\epsilon > 0$. Thus we obtain the conjecture for curves.

Note that our result on the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves is not effective in contrast to Cinkir's theorem (when K is a function field of transcendence degree 1 over k of characteristic 0). Cinkir gave explicitly a positive number r such that $C(r)$ is finite.

On the other hand, we have an advantage to work over any function field of any characteristic. One benefit of that is that we have an application to the Manin–Mumford conjecture in positive characteristic (cf. § 12).

We give a remark on the proof of Theorem 1.8. To prove that theorem, we first show that Conjecture 1.7 holds under the assumption of $\operatorname{codim}(X, A) = 1$ (cf. Theorem 11.2) by using the recent partical results on the Conjecture 1.7, and then we reduce Theorem 1.8 to this codimension 1 case. It will be interesting that our proof of the goemetric Bogomolov conjecture for curves works via partial results of the conjecture for abelian varieties.

1.4. **Organization.** This paper consists of twelve sections including this introduction. In § 2, we recall the notion of canonical heights on abelian varieties. Here we mainly focus on

the heights over function fields. In § 3, we formulate the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties. We also present there some partial results on the conjecture. In a part of the argument of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture, we use an non-archimedean analogue of the proofs of Ullmo's and Zhang's theorem. In § 4, therefore, we recall the idea of Zhang's proof. Since we use non-archimedean analogue of Zhang's argument, we recall in § 5 the basic ideas of non-archimedean geometry. In § 6, we explain the proof of Gubler's theorem. This theorem is the first one where the non-archimedean analogue of Zhang's proof worked well, and we will use the idea of the proof of this theorem. In § 7, we describe the structure of the canonical measures. This structure theorem plays a crucial roles in our argument to reduce the geometric Bogomolov conjecture to that for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties. § 8 is the first main part of this article. There, we prove that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for any abelian variety is reduced to the conjecture for its maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety. Because of this result, in particular, the conjecture is reduced to that for abelian varieties that is nowhere degenerate. In § 9, we recall the notion of canonical height of closed subvarieties of an abelian variety. In this section, we also mention that the admissible paring on curves is essentially the canonical height of the curve in its Jacobian. In § 10, we prove that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties are reduced to the conjecture for those with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace. § 11 is the second main part of this paper. There, we give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.8. In the last section § 12, we give a remark of the Manin–Mumford conjecture in positive characteristic.

Acknowledgments. This survey paper is the proceeding of my talk at the conference "Nonarchimedean analytic Geometry: Theory and Practice" held at Papeete from 24 to 28, August, 2015. I thank the organizers for inviting me to the conference and giving me an opportunity to give a talk. I thank Professor Jérôme Poineau for recommending that I write this survey. This work was partly supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science through KAKENHI 26800012.

2. Canonical heights on abelian varieties

In this section, we recall basic properties of canonical heights on abelian varieties. For the theory of heights, [21, Chapter 1–Chapter 6] is a basic reference. We also refer to [7].

2.1. **Height over function fields.** Before describing the notion of canonical heights on abelian varieties, let us recall the idea of heights. The theory of heights is developed over over number fields and over function fields. Because our main topics concern the *geometric* Bogomolov conjecture, we focus only on describing the heights over function fields in this subsection. At the end of this subsection, we will give a comment on the height over number field.

Let K be the function field of $\mathfrak{B}$ (cf. § 1.1.4). We begin with the notion of height arising from a model. Let X be a projective variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be a line bundle on X. Let K' be a finite extension of K and let $\mathfrak{B}'$ be the normalization of $\mathfrak{B}$ in K'. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be a model of (X, L) over $\mathfrak{B}'$ satisfying the following conditions: the morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is proper; and there exists an open subset $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{U}'$ with $\operatorname{codim}(\mathfrak{B}' \setminus \mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{B}') \geq 2$ over which $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is flat. We do not take care of the last condition if $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$. There always

exists such a model. When we say a model in this subsection, we assume that it satisfies the above conditions.

Let $\mathcal{H}'$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{H}$ by the finite morphism $\mathfrak{B}' \to \mathfrak{B}$. We take a point $x \in X(\overline{K})$. Let Δ_x be the closure of x in $\mathscr{X}$ and let $K'(x)$ be the function field of Δ_x. Then we set

$$h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})}(x) := \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* (c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_x])}{[K'(x) : K]}$$

where $\deg_{\mathcal{H}'}$ means the degree with respect to $\mathcal{H}'$. This defines a function

$$h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})} : X(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{R},$$

called the *height (function) arising from a model* $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$.

The following lemma immediately follows from the definition.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and Y be projective varieties over $\overline{K}$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of varieties. Let L and L' be line bundles on X. Let $\mathfrak{B}'$ is the normalization of $\mathfrak{B}$ in a finite extension of K and let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}')$ be models over $\mathfrak{B}'$ of (X, L) and (X, L'), respectively. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a model over $\mathfrak{B}'$ of Y and let $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a morphism over $\mathfrak{B}$ which extends f. Then we have the following.*

1. $h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{L}')} = h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})} + h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L}')}$.
2. $f^* h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})} = h_{(\mathcal{Y},\varphi^*\mathcal{L})}$.
3. *If L is ample, then there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})} \geq C$.*

Indeed, (1) follows from the the linearity on the line bundle of the intersection product and (2) follows from the projection formula. To see (3), first note that for any line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathfrak{B}'$, we have

$$h_{(\mathcal{X},\pi^*(\mathcal{M}))}(x) = \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* (c_1(\pi^*(\mathcal{M})) \cdot [\Delta_x])}{[K'(x) : K]} = \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'}(\mathcal{M})}{[K' : K]}. \tag{2.1.1}$$

Suppose that L is ample. Then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathfrak{B}'$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{M})$ is ample on $\mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{M})$, we have $h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L}\otimes\pi^*(\mathcal{M}))} \geq 0$. It follows that

$$h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})} = h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L}\otimes\pi^*(\mathcal{M}))} + h_{(\mathcal{X},\pi^*(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(-1)}))} \geq \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(-1)})}{[K' : K]},$$

where we use (2.1.1). Thus we have (3).

For a pair (X, L) of a projective variety X over K and a line bundle on X, one would hope, naively, to define the height function h_L on X associated to L by using a model. In fact, such a model is not unique, and the function arising from a model depends on the choice of a model. Indeed, in the above argument to show Lemma 2.1 (3), both $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{M}))$ are models of (X, L), and $h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})} \neq h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L}\otimes\pi^*(\mathcal{M}))}$.

However, the following lemma indicates that the notion of height with respect to line bundles can be defined as function up to bounded functions:

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a projective variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be line a bundle on X. Let $\mathfrak{B}'_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}'_1$ are normal varieties over k that are finite over $\mathfrak{B}$ and let $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$ be proper flat models over $\mathfrak{B}'_1$ and $\mathfrak{B}_2$, respectively. Then $h_{(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathcal{L}_1)} - h_{(\mathcal{X}_2,\mathcal{L}_2)}$ is a bounded function on $X(\overline{K})$.*

Taking into account Lemma 2.2, we define a height associated to a line bundle L as a representative of an equivalence class of functions on $X(\overline{K})$ modulo bounded functions. To be precise, let $h_L : X(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We call h_L a *height (function) associated to* L if there exist a normal variety $\mathfrak{B}'$ over k that is finite over $\mathfrak{B}$ and a proper model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of (X, L) over $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $\mathcal{X} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is flat over an open subset whose complement has codimension in $\mathfrak{B}'$ at least 2 and such that $h_L - h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})}$ is a bounded function over $X(\overline{K})$. Note that by Lemma 2.2, this condition is equivalent to say that $h_L - h_{(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{L})}$ is bounded for any normal variety $\mathfrak{B}'$ over k that is finite over $\mathfrak{B}$ and any proper model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ over $\mathfrak{B}'$ as above. In particular, the height arising from a model is a height function.

In the following, when we write h_L, it means a height function associated to L. By definition that this is not a uniquely determined function from L, but it is unique up to a bounded function.

For any real-valued function h_1 and h_2 defined over the same set, let $h_1 \sim h_2$ mean that $h_1 - h_2$ is a bounded function. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X and Y be projective varieties over $\overline{K}$ and let L and L' be line bundles on X.*

1. *We have $h_{L\otimes L'} \sim h_L + h_{L'}$.*
2. *Let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism of varieties over $\overline{K}$. Then $h_{f^*L} \sim f^*h_L$.*
3. *Suppose that L is ample. Then h_L is positive up to a bounded function, that is, there exists a real constant C such that $h_L \geq C$.*

Let us make remarks. There is another approach to the height theory, which begin with the Weil height; see [21, Chapter 3] for the detail. Remark that the notion of heights we describe here and that in [21, Chapter 3] are same (cf. [21, Chapter 4]).

Let us give a comment on the heights over number field. Also over number fields, one can define the notion of heights. In introducing the notion of heights over number fields, one can define the heights arising from models by using the arithmetic intersection theory; see [18] for example. Another way, which may be more standard way, is to use the Weil heights; see [21, Chapter 3] for details. We just remark that the heights over number fields also have the same properties as in Proposition 2.3. Further, the notion of canonical height, which is given in the next subsection, can be well defined not only over function fields but also over number fields.

2.2. **Canonical heights on abelian varieties.** For a given line bundle, a height function associated to the line bundle are only determined up to a bounded function. However, in some cases, we can make a canonical choice of height functions among them, and actually, we have a notion of canonical height over abelian varieties.

In this subsection, let K be a function field or a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be an even line bundle on A. Fix a positive integer n. Since we have $[n]^*(L) \cong L^{\otimes n^2}$ by the theorem of cube (cf. [28, § 6, Corollary 3]), Proposition 2.3 gives us

$$[n]^*h_L \sim n^2 h_L, \tag{2.3.2}$$

where $\sim$ means that they are equal up to bounded functions, namely, an equality modulo bounded functions. The following proposition shows that there exists a unique height associated to L with which (2.3.2) is actually an equality:

Proposition 2.4. *Fix an integer $n > 1$. Then there exists a unique height function h_L such that $[n]^* h_L = n^2 h_L$.*

The construction of such a h_L as in the above proposition can be done by limiting process. Let h_1 be any height function associated to L. We define a sequence $(h_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ of function on $A(\overline{K})$ inductively by $h_{m+1} := \frac{1}{n^2}[n]^* h_m$. Then each h_m is a height function on A associated to L. Furthermore, one can show that this sequence converges to a height function h_L associated to L. By this construction, one also checks $[n]^* h_L = n^2 h_L$.

The uniqueness is shown as follows. Suppose that h'_L is a height function associated to L such that $[n]^* h'_L = n^2 h'_L$. Set $f := h_L - h'_L$. Since h_L and h'_L are height functions associated to L, f is a bounded function. We prove $f = 0$ by contradiction. Suppose that there exists an $x \in X(\overline{K})$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$f(nx) = [n]^* h_L(x) - [n]^* h'_L(x) = n^2 h_L(x) - n^2 h'_L(x) = n^2 f(x).$$

Taking $n \to \infty$, we see that this equality indicates that f is not bounded, and that is contradiction.

More strongly than Proposition 2.4, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.5. *There exists a unique bilinear form $b_L : A(\overline{K}) \times A(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the function $\widehat{h}_L : A(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\widehat{h}_L(x) = \frac{1}{2} b_L(x, x)$ is a height function associated to L.*

This theorem shows that there exists a height function associated to L that is a quadratic form. Since $[n]^* \widehat{h}_L = n^2 \widehat{h}_L$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the uniqueness in Theorem 2.5 follows from the uniqueness assertion in Proposition 2.4. Remark that by the uniqueness, Theorem 2.5 indicates that the height function in Proposition 2.4 is actually a quadratic form and the heights in Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 are the same. For the proof of Theorem 2.5, we refer to [21, Chapter 5].

The height $\widehat{h}_L$ in Theorem 2.5 is called the *canonical height associated to L*. For an even line bundle L on an abelian variety, let $\widehat{h}_L$ always denote the canonical height associated to L.

Proposition 2.6. *For the canonical heights associated to even line bundles on abelian varieties, the following hold.*

1. *Let L_1 and L_2 be even line bundles on an abelian variety. Then $\widehat{h}_{L_1 \otimes L_2} = \widehat{h}_{L_1} + \widehat{h}_{L_2}$.*
2. *Let $\phi : B \to A$ be a homomorphism of abelian varieties and let L be an even line bundle on A. Then $\widehat{h}_{\phi^*(L)} = \phi^* \widehat{h}_L$.*
3. *Let L be an even line bundle on an abelian variety. Suppose that L is ample. Then $\widehat{h}_L \geq 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, all the assertion hold up to bounded functions. By the uniqueness assertion of Theorem 2.5, we see that (1) and (2) are really equalities, and (3) also follows from the fact that the canonical height is a quadratic form, which is shown in Theorem 2.5. □

In the remaining of this subsection, we recall easy facts on points of height 0.

Remark 2.7. Let L be an even line bundle on an abelian variety A. Since $\widehat{h}_L$ is a quadratic form, we have $\widehat{h}_L(a) = 0$ for any torsion point a of $A(\overline{K})$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let L_1 and L_2 be even ample line bundles on A. Let $a \in A(\overline{K})$. Then $\widehat{h}_{L_1}(a) = 0$ if and only if $\widehat{h}_{L_2}(a) = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\widehat{h}_{L_1}(a) = 0$ implies $\widehat{h}_{L_2}(a) = 0$. Suppose that $\widehat{h}_{L_1}(a) = 0$. Since L_1 is ample, there exists a positive integer N such that $L_2^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes L_1^{\otimes N}$ is ample. By Proposition 2.6 (3), we have $\widehat{h}_{L_2^{\otimes(-1)} \otimes L_1^{\otimes N}} \geq 0$, and by Proposition 2.6 (1), we obtain $\widehat{h}_{L_2} \leq N\widehat{h}_{L_1}$. It follows that $\widehat{h}_{L_2}(a) \leq N\widehat{h}_{L_1}(a) = 0$. We have $\widehat{h}_{L_2}(a) \geq 0$ by the ampleness of L_2. Thus we conclude $\widehat{h}_{L_2}(a) = 0$. □

We say that a point $a \in A(\overline{K})$ *has height* 0 if $\widehat{h}_L(a) = 0$, where L is an even ample line bundle on A. This terminology is well defined by Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\phi : B \to A$ be a homomorphism of abelian varieties over $\overline{K}$. Let $b \in B(\overline{K})$ be a point of height* 0*. Then $\phi(b)$ has height* 0*.*

Proof. Let L be an even ample line bundle. Then there exists an ample line bundle M on B such that $M \times \phi^*(L^{\otimes(-1)})$ is ample. Replacing M with $M \otimes [-1]^*(M)$ if necessary, we take M to be even as well. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$0 \leq \widehat{h}_L(\phi(b)) = \widehat{h}_{\phi^*(L)}(b) \leq \widehat{h}_M(b) = 0,$$

and thus $\phi(a)$ has height 0. □

Finally in this subsection, we make a remark on the Néron–Tate height on the Jacobian varieties.

Remark 2.10. Let $J_C^{(g-1)}$ be the Jacobian varieties of degree $g-1$ divisor classes on C. Let Θ be the theta divisor on $J_C^{(g-1)}$, that is, the image of $C^{g-1} \to J_C^{(g-1)}$ given by $(p_1, \ldots, p_{g-1}) \mapsto p_1 + \cdots + p_{g-1}$. Let c_0 be a divisor on C of degree 1 such that $(2g-2)c_0$ is a canonical divisor on C. Let $\lambda : J_C \to J_C^{(g-1)}$ be the isomorphism defined by $a \mapsto a + (g-1)c_0$. Let θ be the pullback of Θ by λ. It is known that θ is ample. Since $(2g-2)c_0$ is a canonical divisor on C, the line bundle $L := \mathcal{O}_{J_C}(\theta)$ is even. This θ is called a symmetric theta divisor. It is known that the Néron–Tate height h_{NT} equals $\widehat{h}_L$. We refer to [21, Chaper 5, § 5] for details.

2.3. **Canonical height on the generic fiber of abelian scheme.** In this subsection, we assume that K is a function field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Assume that there exist a finite covering $\mathfrak{B}' \to \mathfrak{B}$ with $\mathfrak{B}'$ normal and an abelian scheme $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ whose geometric generic fiber equals A. Under this assumption, we describe the canonical height in terms of intersection product on $\mathcal{A}$. Using that description, we furthermore see that in general, a constant abelian variety has a lot of points which are non-torsion but of canonical height 0. The description of the canonical heights given here will be generalized in § 10.1.

Let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Then replacing $\mathfrak{B}'$ by a further finite covering, we may assume that there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ of which restriction to A coincides

with L. By tensoring the pull-back by π of a line bundle on $\mathfrak{B}'$, we may take $\mathcal{L}$ so that $0_\pi^*(\mathcal{L})$ is trivial, where 0_π is the zero-section of the abelian scheme $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}'$.

Then we have $[n]^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n^2}$. Indeed, since $\mathcal{L}|_A = L$ is even, there exists a line bundle on $\mathcal{N}$ on $\mathfrak{B}'$ such that $[n]^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n^2} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{N})$. Note that $0_\pi^*(\pi^*(\mathcal{N})) = \mathcal{N}$, so that it suffices to see that $0_\pi^*(\pi^*(\mathcal{N})) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}'}$. Since $0^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}'}$, we have $0_\pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n^2}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}'}$. On the other hand, we see

$$0_\pi^*([n]^*(\mathcal{L})) = ([n] \circ 0_\pi)^*(\mathcal{L}) = 0_\pi^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}'}.$$

Since $[n]^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n^2} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{N})$, we obtain $0_\pi^*(\pi^*(\mathcal{N})) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}'}$, as required.

Let $\mathcal{H}'$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{H}$ by the morphism $\mathfrak{B}' \to \mathfrak{B}$. We prove that for any $x \in A(\overline{K})$, we have

$$\widehat{h}_L(x) = \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'}(\pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_x]))}{[K'(x) : K]} \tag{2.10.3}$$

where Δ_x is the closure of x in $\mathcal{A}$, $[\Delta_x]$ is the cycle that gives Δ_x, and $K'(x)$ is the function field of Δ_x. Note that the right-hand side on (2.10.3) gives a height function associated to L. By Proposition 2.4. and Theorem 2.5, we only have to show that

$$\frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'}(\pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_{nx}]))}{[K'(nx) : K]} = n^2 \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'}(\pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_x]))}{[K'(x) : K]}. \tag{2.10.4}$$

Since $[n]_*([\Delta_x]) = [K'(x) : K'(nx)][\Delta_{nx}]$, it follows by the projection formula that as cycle classes,

$$[K'(x) : K'(nx)]c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_{nx}] = c_1([n]^*(\mathcal{L})) \cdot [\Delta_x].$$

Since

$$c_1([n]^*(\mathcal{L})) \cdot [\Delta_x] = c_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n^2}) \cdot [\Delta_x] = n^2(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_x]),$$

it follows that $[K'(x) : K'(nx)]c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_{nx}] = n^2(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot [\Delta_x])$. Thus we obtain (2.10.4).

As we mentioned in Remark 2.7, torsion points have canonical height 0. Over number fields, it is classically known that the converse also holds; the torsion points are the only points with canonical height 0. Over function fields, however, there are height 0 points other than torsion points, in general. A typical example of abelian varieties which can have non-torsion height 0 points is a constant abelian variety. A *constant abelian variety* is an abelian variety B over $\overline{K}$ endowed with an identification $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ for some abelian variety $\widetilde{B}$ over k. Note that for a constant abelian variety $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$, we regard $\widetilde{B}(k) \subset B(\overline{K})$ naturally.

Example 2.11. Let $B := \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ be a constant abelian variety. Note $\widetilde{B}(k) \subset B(\overline{K})$. Then any point $b \in \widetilde{B}(k)$ has height 0. To see that, we take an even ample line bundle $\widetilde{M}$ on $\widetilde{B}$ and set $M := \widetilde{M} \otimes_k \overline{K}$. Let $\pi : \widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}} : \widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B} \to \widetilde{B}$ be the projections. Then the pair $(\widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}, \mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}}^*(\widetilde{M}))$ is a proper flat model of (B, M) such that $\pi : \widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}$ is an abelian scheme. (We call such a model a *standard model*.) For any $b \in \widetilde{B}(k)$, the closure Δ_b of b (as a point of $B(\overline{K})$) in $\widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}$ equals $\mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}}^{-1}(b)$. Note that $c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}}^*(\widetilde{M})) \cdot [\mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}}^{-1}(b)] = 0$ as a cycle class on $\widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}$. By (2.10.3), we then obtain

$$\widehat{h}_M(b) = \deg_{\mathcal{H}} \pi_*(c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}}^*(\widetilde{M})) \cdot [\mathrm{pr}_{\widetilde{B}}^{-1}(b)]) = 0,$$

as required.

Remark 2.12. Let $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ be as in above example. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\phi : B \to A$ be a homomorphism. Then any point of $\phi(B(k)) \subset A(\overline{K})$ has height 0 by Lemma 2.9.

2.4. **Height** 0 **points.** To describe the points of height 0 over function fields, we recall the notion of $\overline{K}/k$-trace of an abelian variety. It will also be used to give a definition of special subvariety.

A $\overline{K}/k$-trace the universal one among the homomorphisms from a constant abelian variety to the abelian variety. To be precise, let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. A *$\overline{K}/k$-trace* of A is a pair $\left(\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}, \mathrm{Tr}_A\right)$ of an abelian variety over k and a homomorphism $\mathrm{Tr}_A : \widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}} \otimes_k \overline{K} \to A$ having the following universal property: Let $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ be a constant abelian variety and let $\phi : B \to A$ be a homomorphism; then there exists a unique homomorphism $\phi^t : \widetilde{B} \to \widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_A \circ (\phi^t \otimes_k \overline{K}) = \phi$. It is known that there exists a unique $\overline{K}/k$-trace of A, the uniqueness follows from the universal property. Further, it is known that the $\overline{K}/k$-trace homomorphism Tr_A is finite and purely inseparable. We refer to [20, Ch.VIII, § 3] for details.

Proposition 2.13 (Theorem 5.4 of Chapter 6 of [21])**.** *With the above notation, we regard*

$$\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}(k) \subset \left(\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}} \otimes_k \overline{K}\right)(\overline{K}).$$

Then we have

$$\{a \in A(\overline{K}) \mid \widehat{h}_L(a) = 0\} = \mathrm{Tr}_A\left(\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}(k)\right) + A(\overline{K})_{tor}.$$

Indeed, The inclusion $\supset$ is shown as follows. We take an even ample line bundle L on A. Since $\widehat{h}_L$ is a quadratic form and since the torsion points has height 0, we only have to show that for $a \in \mathrm{Tr}_A\left(\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}(k)\right)$, we have $\widehat{h}_L(a) = 0$, but in fact it is Remark 2.12. We omit the proof of the other inclusion; we refer to [21, Chapter 6].

2.5. **Density of small points.** In this section, let K be a function field or a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. In this section, we introduce the notion of density of small points and give a basic facts on this notion.

The notion of density of small points can be defined due to the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14 (cf. the proof Lemma 2.1 of [39])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety. Let L_1 and L_2 be even ample line bundles on A. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$X(\epsilon_1; L_1)$ is dense in X for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$;*
(2) *$X(\epsilon_2; L_2)$ is dense in X for any $\epsilon_2 > 0$.*

Proof. The proof is given by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.8, so that we omit the detail. □

We say that X *has dense small points* if for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$X(\epsilon; L) := \{x \in X(\overline{K}) \mid \widehat{h}_L(x) \leq \epsilon\}$$

is dense in X. This notion does not depend on the choice of L because of Lemma 2.14. If X has a dense subset of height 0, then it has dense small points.

Using the terminology of density of small points, Zhang's theorem is restated as follows. Let K be a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a torsion subvariety.

We put together some lemmas concerning the density of small points. They will be used later.

The following lemma indicates that the image of a closed subvariety with dense small points by a homomorphism has the same property.

Lemma 2.15 (cf. Lemma 2.1 of [39])**.** *Let $\phi : B \to A$ be a homomorphism of abelian varieties over $\overline{K}$. Let Y be a closed subvariety. If Y has dense small points, then $\phi(Y)$ has dense small points.*

Proof. Let L be an even ample line bundle on A and let M be an even ample line bundle on B. Since M is ample, $M^{\otimes m} \otimes \phi^*(L)^{-1}$ is ample for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 2.6 (3), we have $\widehat{h}_{M^{\otimes m} \otimes \phi^*(L)^{-1}} \geq 0$. Further, by Proposition 2.6 (1) and (2), we obtain $\widehat{h}_{M^{\otimes m}} \geq \phi^* \widehat{h}_L$. Put $X := \phi(Y)$. The last inequality gives us $Y(\epsilon; M^{\otimes m}) \subset \phi(X(\epsilon; L))$. This shows that if Y has dense small points, then so does X. □

Lemma 2.16. *Let A_1 and A_2 be abelian varieties over $\overline{K}$ and let X_1 and X_2 be closed subvarieties of A_1 and A_2, respectively. Suppose that X_1 and X_2 have dense small points. Then $X_1 \times X_2$ has dense small points.*

Proof. This lemma follows by Proposition 2.6 (1). See also [39, Lemma 2.4]. □

In the argument later, we will use the equidistribution theorem of small points. There, we will use a small generic net of points. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. An element of $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in X(\overline{K})^I$ is called a *net* on $X(\overline{K})$, where I is a directed set. We say that $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is *generic* if for any proper closed subset Y of X, there exists an $i_0 \in I$ such that $x_i \notin Y$ for any $i \geq i_0$. We say that $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is *small* if $\varinjlim_i \widehat{h}_L(x_i) = 0$, where L is an even ample line bundle on A. The notion of small does not depend on the choice of L.

The following lemma, which will be used later, asserts that there exists a small generic net on a closed subvariety if the closed variety has dense small points.

Lemma 2.17. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then there exists a small generic net $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ on $X(\overline{K})$.*

Proof. If $\dim(X) = 0$, then the assertion is trivial. Therefore we may and do assume that $\dim(X) > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the set of closed subsets Z of X such that any irreducible component of Z has codimension 1 in X. Let I be an index set of $\mathfrak{S}$, which means there exists a bijective map from I to $\mathfrak{S}$. For each $i \in I$, let Z_i denote the closed subset corresponding to i. We put a partial order on I in such a way that for $i_1, i_2 \in I$, $i_1 \leq i_2$ if and only if $Z_{i_1} \subset Z_{i_2}$. Then I is a directed set with respect to this order.

We construct a net which will be checked to be generic and small. We take an even ample line bundle L on A. For any $i \in I$, let n_i denote the number of irreducible component of Z_i. Since X has dense small points, $X(1/n_i; L)$ is dense in X. It follows that for any $i \in I$ there exists a point $x_i \in X(1/n_i; L) \setminus Z_i$. By the axiom of choice, this constructs a net $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ on $X(\overline{K})$.

We prove that $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is generic and small. It is generic by the construction. Indeed, take any closed subset Y of X, and we have an $i_0 \in I$ with $Y \subset Z_{i_0}$. Then for any $i \geq i_0$, we have $x_i \notin Z_i \supset Z_{i_0} \supset Y$, which shows that $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is generic. To see that it is small, we take any $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $n_{i_0} > \epsilon^{-1}$. For any $i \geq i_0$, we have $Z_i \supset Z_{i_0}$. Since any irreducible component of Z_0 and Z_{i_0} has codimension 1 in X, it follows that $n_i \geq n_{i_0}$. Thus for any $i \geq i_0$, we have

$$0 \leq \widehat{h}_L(x_i) \leq 1/n_i \leq 1/n_{i_0} < \epsilon,$$

which shows that $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is small. □

3. Geometric Bogomolov conjecture

Throughout this section, let K be a function field, that is, K is the function field of a normal projective variety $\mathfrak{B}$ over a fixed algebraically closed field k (cf. § 1.1.4).

3.1. **Place of $\overline{K}$.** We define the set $M_{\overline{K}}$ of $\overline{K}$. For a finite extension K' of K, let $\mathfrak{B}'$ denote the normalization of $\mathfrak{B}$ in K. Let $M_{K'}$ be the set of points of $\mathfrak{B}'$ of codimension 1. We call each $v \in M_{K'}$ a *place* of K'. (Remark that the notion of place of K' depends not only on K' but also on $\mathfrak{B}$ unless $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$.) If K'' is a finite extension of K', then it is well known that there exists a natural surjective map $M_{K''} \to M_{K'}$, and thus we have an inverse system $(M_{K'})_{K'}$, where K' runs through the finite extensions of K' in $\overline{K}$. We define $M_{\overline{K}}$ to be $\varprojlim M_{K'}$. We call each element of $M_{\overline{K}}$ a *place of* $\overline{K}$.

Each place $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$ gives a unique equivalence class of non-archimedean absolute values on $\overline{K}$ such that if $v_{K'}$ denote the natural projection of v to $M_{K'}$, then restriction of the value to K' is equivalent to the value on K' that is given by the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}',v_{K'}}$. Let $\overline{K}_v$ denote the completion of $\overline{K}$ with respect to this value. Let $\overline{K}_v^\circ$ denote the ring of integer of $\overline{K}_v$. Remark that the residue field equals k.

For an algebraic variety X over $\overline{K}$, we write $X_v := X \otimes_{\overline{K}} \overline{K}_v$.

3.2. **Degeneration of abelian varieties.** We recall the notion of degeneracy of abelian varieties. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. We take a $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$. Then by the semistable reduction theorem, there exists a unique semiabelian scheme $\mathscr{A}^\circ \to \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{K}_v^\circ)$ whose generic fiber equals A_v. (Remark that A can be defined over the quotient field of some discrete valuation ring.) Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}^\circ}$ be the special fiber. Since it is a semiabelian variety, there exist a nonnegative integer r and an exact sequence

$$1 \to (\mathbb{G}_m^r)_k \to \widetilde{\mathscr{A}^\circ} \to \widetilde{B} \to 0$$

of algebraic group over k, where $(\mathbb{G}_m^r)_k$ is the algebraic torus over k of dimension r and $\widetilde{B}$ is an abelian variety over k. We call $\widetilde{B}$ the abelian part of the reduction of A_v. Put $b(A_v) := \dim(\widetilde{B})$, which is well defined from A_v.

We say that A is *degenerate at* v if $b(A_v) < \dim(A)$. We say A is *non-degenerate at* v if it is not degenerate at v, i.e., $b(A_v) = \dim(A)$. Further, we say that A is *totally degenerate at* v if $b(A_v) = 0$. Notice that in this terminology, if $\dim(A) = 0$, then A is non-degenerate and totally degenerate at any place.

We restate Gubler's theorem here, as we have just defined the notion of total degeneracy.

Theorem 3.1 (Gubler's theorem, Theorem 1.1 of [15])**.** *Assume that A is totally degenerate at some place, that is, there exists a $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$ such that A_v is totally degenerate. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a torsion subvariety.*

3.3. **Statement of the conjecture.** For general abelian variety A over $\overline{K}$, the same statement as Gubler's theorem does not hold: Let $(\widetilde{A}^{\overline{K}/k}, \mathrm{Tr}_A)$ be the $\overline{K}/k$-trace of A; then the image of a constant subvariety of $\widetilde{A}^{\overline{K}/k}$ by Tr_A has dense small points, and in general it is not a torsion subvariety. This fact indicate that if we wish to characterize the closed subvarieties with dense small points, we have to define a suitable counterpart of torsion subvariety. The candidate is the special subvarieties, which we are going to define.

Let X be a closed subvariety of A. We say that X is *special* if there exist an abelian subvariety G of A, a torsion point $\tau \in A(\overline{K})_{tor}$, and a closed subvariety $\widetilde{Y} \subset \widetilde{A}^{\overline{K}/k}$ such that

$$X = \mathrm{Tr}_A\left(\widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \overline{K}\right) + G + \tau.$$

This definition of special subvariety coincides with the definition in § 1.3 by the universal property of the $\overline{K}/k$-trace.

One shows that if A is totally degenerate at some place, then A has trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace. In the setting of Gubler's theorem, therefore, the notion of special subvarieties is the same as that of torsion subvarieties.

A point $x \in A(\overline{K})$ is called *special point* if $\{x\}$ is a special subvariety. By definition, x is a special point if and only if

$$x \in \mathrm{Tr}_A\left(\widetilde{A}^{\overline{K}/k}(k)\right) + A(\overline{K})_{tor},$$

where we regard $\widetilde{A}^{\overline{K}/k}(k) \subset \widetilde{A}^{\overline{K}/k}(\overline{K})$ naturally.

Remark 3.2. By Proposition 2.13, it follows that a point is special if and only if it has height 0.

Remark 3.3. Any special subvariety has dense small points. Indeed, with the above expression of X,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A\left(\widetilde{Y}(k)\right) + G(\overline{K})_{tor} + \tau$$

is a dense subset of X, and by Proposition 2.13, each point of it has height 0.

Now, we state the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties, which has been proposed as [39, Conjecture 2.9] and asserts that the converse of Remark 3.3 should hold.

Conjecture 3.4 (Geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties)**.** Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$, where K is a function field. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X should be a special subvariety.

Remark 3.5. We keep the setting of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties.

(1) Assume that $\dim(X) = 0$. Suppose that X has dense small points, then X is special. Indeed, Since $\dim(X) = 0$ and X has dense small points, we can write $X = \{x\}$ with a point x of height 0. Then X is special by Remark 3.2.

(2) Assume that $\dim(A) = 1$. Then the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A, because any proper closed subvariety of A has dimension 0.

3.4. **Partial answers to the conjecture.** Although the geometric Bogomolov conjecture is still open, there are some important partial answers other than Gubler's theorem. In the same paper [39] as the Conjecture 3.4 is proposed, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6 (Theorem 0.5 of [39])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Assume that $b(A_v) \leq 1$ for some $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a special subvariety.*

This theorem generalizes Gubler's theorem. Indeed, in the Gubler's setting we have $b(A_v) = 0$ for some $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$.

Furthermore, in [40], we generalize Theorem 3.6, where we use the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety. An abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ is said to be *nowhere degenerate* if it is non-degenerate at any place of $\overline{K}$. For any abelian variety A over $\overline{K}$, there exists a unique maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety $\mathfrak{m}$ of A; $\mathfrak{m}$ is an abelian subvariety that is characterized by the conditions that $\mathfrak{m}$ is nowhere degenerate, and that if $\mathfrak{m}'$ is an abelian subvariety of A that is nowhere degenerate, then $\mathfrak{m}' \subset \mathfrak{m}$. By considering the dimension, the existence is obvious; the uniqueness is actually proved in [40, § 7.3].

Theorem 3.7 (Theorem E of [40])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A. Then the following are equivalent.*

(a) *The geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A.*
(b) *The geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}$.*

Since the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A, then it holds for any abelian subvariety of A, it is obvious that (a) implies (b). The essential part is that (b) implies (a).

As in Remark 3.5 (2), the conjecture holds for abelian varieties of dimension not greater than 1. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8 (Theorem F of [40])**.** *With the notation as in Theorem 3.7, suppose that $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) \leq 1$. Then the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A.*

It is not difficult to see that Corollary 3.8 generalizes Theorem 3.6 if you use the Lemma 3.9 below; see [40, Proposition 3.3] for a proof.

Lemma 3.9. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let A' be an abelian subvariety of A. Then for any $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$, we have $b(A'_v) \leq b(A_v)$.*

Indeed, by this lemma, we have $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) \leq b(\mathfrak{m}_v) \leq b(A_v)$ for any $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$. Therefore $b(A_v) \leq 1$ implies $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) \leq 1$, and thus Theorem 3.7 generalizes Theorem 3.6.

By Theorem 3.7, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture is reduced to the conjecture for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties. In [41], furthermore, we show that the conjecture is reduced to the case where the abelian variety has trivial trace. To be precise, let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian variety of A. Let $\left(\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}, \mathrm{Tr}_A\right)$ be the $\overline{K}/k$-trace. We set $\mathfrak{t} := \mathrm{Image}(\mathrm{Tr}_A)$, the image of the trace homomorphism $\mathrm{Tr}_A : \widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}} \otimes_k \overline{K} \to A$. One can show that the image of the maximal nowhere

degenerate abelian subvariety by a homomorphism is contained the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety (cf. [40, Lemma 7.8 (2)]). Since the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of $\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}}$ equals itself, we therefore have $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the image of the $\overline{K}/k$-homomorphism. Then the following are equivalent.*

(a) *The geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A.*
(b) *The geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}$.*
(c) *The geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}$.*

The equivalence between the first two statement is nothing but Theorem 3.7. By [40, Lemma 7.7], we see that (b) implies (c), which is not difficult. Thus the main contribution the theorem the assertion that (c) implies (b)

Since the quotient of nowhere degenerate abelian variety by an abelian subvariety is again nowhere degenerate, the quotient $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}$ is nowhere degenerate (cf. [40, Lemma 7.8 (2)]). Furthermore, $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}$ has trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace; see [41, Remark 5.4]. Thus the conjecture for general A is reduced the conjecture for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace.

In a large part of the sequel, we will explain the idea of the proof of Theorems 3.7 and 3.10. As for Theorems 3.7, we give an idea of the proof in § 8; we will not prove this theorem but give an outline the proof of a little weaker result. We recall in § 6 the proof of Gubler's theorem because the idea of this proof is inspired by the proof of Gubler's theorem. Since the Gubler's proof is a non-archimedean analogue of the proof of Zhang's theorem, we also recall the idea of Zhang in § 4 and fundamental facts on non-archimedean geometry in § 5. We recall the structure of the canonical measures in § 7, as these measures are the key ingredients of the proof of Theorem 3.7. As for Theorem 3.10, we give an outline of the proof in § 10 after remarking the canonical height of closed subvarieties in § 9.

4. Proof of Zhang's theorem

Recall Zhang's theorem:

Theorem 4.1 (Zhang's theorem, restated)**.** *Assume that K is a number field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a torsion subvariety.*

The proof is based on the equidistribution of small points argued in [35]. In this section, we give an outline of the proof of Zhang's theorem with an emphasis on how to use the equidistribution theorem. The proof of Zhang will be a prototype of the proof of many results concerning the geometric Bogomolov conjecture.

The basic reference is Zhang's original paper [46]. The survey paper [18] will be an accessible exposition for Zhang's theorem.

4.1. **Archimedean canonical measures.** In this subsection, we work over $\mathbb{C}$. We begin by recalling the notion of canonical metric on an abelian variety, which is studied in [22]. We refer to [18, § 4] for details of this subsection. Let A be the complex analytic space associated to an abelian variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and let L be an even line bundle on A. For an integer

$n > 1$, fix an isomorphism $\phi : [n]^*(L) \to L^{\otimes n^2}$. Let $||\cdot||$ be a metric on A. We call $||\cdot||$ is a *canonical metric* on L if $[n]^*||\cdot|| = ||\cdot||^{\otimes n^2}$ holds via the isomorphism ϕ. Later we consider a nonarchimedean analogue of this metric, so when we emphasize that we are working over $\mathbb{C}$, we call it an archimedean canonical metric.

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a unique canonical metric $||\cdot||$ on L for a fixed isomorphism $\phi : [n]^*(L) \to L^{\otimes n^2}$. Furthermore, it is a smooth metric.*

We denote by $||\cdot||_{can}$ the canonical metric. Further, we write $\overline{L} = (L, ||\cdot||_{can})$ in this subsection. Since the canonical metric is a smooth metric, we consider the curvature form $c_1(\overline{L})$.

Remark 4.3. The canonical metric depends on the choice of the isomorphism $\phi : [n]^*L \to L^{\otimes n^2}$, but $c_1(\overline{L})$ does not. Indeed, one shows that a different choice of ϕ makes the canonical metric change only by positive constant multiple, so that it does not change $c_1(\overline{L})$.

Next, let us give an explicite description of the curvature forms of canonical metrics in terms of universal covering of A. Let $p : \mathbb{C}^n \to A$ be the universal covering which is a homomorphism with respect to the additive structure on $\mathbb{C}$ and the group structure on A. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ be the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then there exists a unique hermitian matrix $(c_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$p^*(c_1(\overline{L})) = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \sqrt{-1} c_{ij} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z_j}, \tag{4.3.5}$$

where $\overline{z_j}$ denotes the complex conjugate of z_j; see [18, § 4] for more details.

Furthermore, suppose that L is ample. Then the matrix (c_{ij}) is positive definite. Note that this shows that $c_1(\overline{L})$ is a positive $(1,1)$-from.

Remark 4.4. Suppose that L is ample. Let U be a submanifold of A of dimension d. Then $c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}|_U$ is positive in the following sense. Take any $p \in U$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ be a system of local holomorphic coordinates of U around p. We write $c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}|_U = (\sqrt{-1})^d \varphi du_1 \wedge d\overline{u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du_d \wedge d\overline{u_d}$, where φ is a smooth function on U around p. Then φ is a positive real valued function. (This follows from the positivity of $c_1(\overline{L})$.)

Finally, we define the canonical measures. Let L be an even line bundle on A. Let $\overline{L}$ denote the line bundle L equipped with a canonical metric on L. Assume that L is ample. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Then

$$\mu_{X,L} := \frac{c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}|_X}{\deg_L(X)}$$

is naturally a positive regular Borel measure on X with total volume 1. We call this probability measure the *canonical measure associated* to L. By Remark 4.3, the canonical measure does not depend on the choice of a canonical metric on L, and it is well-defined for L.

4.2. **Archimedean equidistribution theorem.** Let K be number field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Fix a finite extension K' of K such that A and X can be defined over K'. Set $G_{K'} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K')$. Then $G_{K'}$ acts on $X(\overline{K})$. For any $x \in X(\overline{K})$, let $O(x)$ denote the $G_{K'}$-orbit of x. Let σ be an archimedean

place of $\overline{K}$, that is, an embedding $\overline{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of fields. Let X_σ^{an} be the complex analytic space associated to $X \otimes_{\overline{K}} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is regarded as an $\overline{K}$-algebra via σ. We regard $X(\overline{K}) \subset X_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}}$, and hence $O(x) \subset X_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}}$ for any $x \in X(\overline{K})$.

Theorem 4.5 (Theorem 2.1 of [46])**.** *With the notation above, let $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ be a small generic net on $X(\overline{K})$. Then we have a weak convergence*

$$\varinjlim_i \frac{1}{\#O(x_i)} \sum_{z\in O(x_i)} \delta_z = \mu_{X_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},L},$$

where δ_z denotes the Dirac measure of z.

We do not mention the proof of the equidistribution theorem. We refer to the original paper [46] or [18, § 6].

4.3. **Difference morphism.** Let A be an abelian variety over any algebraically closed field $\mathfrak{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Let N be a natural number with $N > 1$. We define $\alpha_N : X^N \to A^{N-1}$ by

$$\alpha_N(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (x_1 - x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1} - x_N).$$

We call α_N the *difference morphism*. In this subsection, we give remarks on this morphism. Lemma 4.6 below will be significantly used not only in the proof of Zhang but also in the proof of some results on the geometric Bogomolov conjecture.

The *stabilizer* of X, denoted by G_X, is a reduced closed subgroup scheme of A characterized by

$$G_X(\mathfrak{K}) = \{a \in A(\mathfrak{K}) \mid X + a \subset X\}.$$

Lemma 4.6 (cf. Lemma 4.1 of [1])**.** *Suppose that $G_X = 0$. Then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_N : X^N \to A^{N-1}$ is generically finite.*

Proof. For a subset S of $X(\mathfrak{K})$, we set

$$G_{X,S} := \{a \in A(\mathfrak{K}) \mid S + a \subset X\}.$$

For any $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in X(\mathfrak{K})$, we write $G_{X,x_1,\ldots,x_m} := G_{X,\{x_1,\ldots,x_m\}}$. Then for any $S \subset X(\mathfrak{K})$, we have $G_X(\mathfrak{K}) \subset G_{X,S}$. Further, we see that $G_X(\mathfrak{K}) = \bigcap_S G_{X,S}$ where S runs through all the finite subset of $X(\overline{K})$. Since $G_X(\mathfrak{K})$ and $G_{X,S}$ are closed subsets of $A(\mathfrak{K})$, it follows that there exists $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in X(\mathfrak{K})$ such that $G_X(\mathfrak{K}) = G_{X,x_1,\ldots,x_N}$.

We prove that for any $y_1, \ldots, y_N \in X(\mathfrak{K})$, we have

$$\alpha_N^{-1}(\alpha_N(y_1, \ldots, y_N)(\mathfrak{K})) = \{(y_1 + a, \ldots, y_N + a) \in A(\mathfrak{K}) \mid a \in G_{X,y_1,\ldots,y_N}\}.$$

The inclusion "$\supset$" is obvious. To show the other inclusion, we take any $(y_1', \ldots, y_N') \in \alpha_N^{-1}(\alpha_N(y_1, \ldots, y_N)(\mathfrak{K}))$. Then for any $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$, we have $y_i' - y_{i+1}' = y_i - y_{i+1}$, and hence $y_1' - y_1 = \cdots = y_N' - y_N$. Let a be this element. Then we have $a \in G_{X,y_1,\ldots,y_N}$ and $(y_1', \ldots, y_N') = (y_1 + a, \ldots, y_N + a)$, which shows "$\subset$".

Since $G_X = 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ such that $G_{X,x_1,\ldots,x_N} = G_X = 0$, as we show above. It follows that

$$\dim\left(\alpha_N^{-1}(\alpha_N(x_1, \ldots, x_N))\right) = 0.$$

This shows that α_N is generically finite. □

4.4. **Proof of Zhang.** We start the proof of Zhang. It is argued by contradiction; suppose that we have a counterexample to Zhang's theorem, that is, suppose that there exist an abelian variety A over $\overline{K}$ and a closed subvariety X such that X is not a torsion subvariety but has dense small points. Let G_X be the stabilizer of X and consider the quotient $\phi : A \to A/G_X$. Then X/G_X is a closed subvariety and has trivial stabilizer. Further, X/G_X has dense small points by Lemma 2.15.

We prove that $\dim(X/G_X) > 0$ by contradiction; suppose that $\dim(X/G_X) = 0$. Then $X/G_X = \{\phi(x)\}$ for some point x. Since X/G_X has dense small points, $\phi(x)$ has height 0, and hence it is a torsion point. Since ϕ induces a surjective homomorphism between the subgroups of torsion points (cf. [39, Proof of Lemma 2.10]), we may take x to be a torsion point of A. Then we see that $X = G_X + x$, which means that X is a torsion subvariety. That is a contradiction.

The above argument suggests that replacing A and X by A/G_X and X/G_X respectively if necessary, we have an abelian variety A and a closed subvariety X with $\dim(X) > 0$ such that X has dense small points and has trivial stabilizer. Put $d := \dim(X)$. Since X has trivial stabilizer, there exists, by Lemma 4.6, an integer $N \geq 2$ such that

$$\alpha_N : X^N \to A^{N-1}; \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \mapsto (x_1 - x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1} - x_N)$$

is generically finite. Set $Z := X^N$ and $Y := \alpha_N(Z)$. Let $\alpha : Z \to Y$ denote the restriction of α_N, which is generically finite surjective morphism. Since X has dense small points, so does Z by Lemma 2.16. By Lemma 2.17, there exists a small generic net $(z_i)_{i\in I}$ on $Z(\overline{K})$. Note that the image $(\alpha(z_i))_{i\in N}$ is a generic net on $Y(\overline{K})$, and furthermore, by Lemma 2.6 (2), this net is small as well. Take an archimedean place σ of $\overline{K}$, i.e., an embedding $\sigma : \overline{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Fix even ample line bundles M and L on A^N and A^{N-1}, respectively. Let $\mu_{Z_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},M}$ and $\mu_{Y_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},L}$ be the canonical measures on Z_σ^{an} and Y_σ^{an}. By the (archimedean) equidistribution theorem (Theorem 4.5), we have

$$\varinjlim_i \frac{1}{\#O(z_i)} \sum_{u\in O(z_i)} \delta_u = \mu_{Z_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},M}$$

and

$$\varinjlim_i \frac{1}{\#O(\alpha(z_i))} \sum_{v\in O(\alpha(z_i))} \delta_v = \mu_{Y_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},L}.$$

Let $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}} : Z_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}} \to Y_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}}$ denote the associated homomorphism of analytic spaces to α. Since

$$\alpha_*^{\mathrm{an}} \left(\frac{1}{\#O(z_i)} \sum_{u\in O(z_i)} \delta_u \right) = \frac{1}{\#O(\alpha(z_i))} \sum_{v\in O(\alpha(z_i))} \delta_v,$$

we obtain $\alpha_*^{\mathrm{an}}(\mu_{Z_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},M}) = \mu_{Y_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},L}$.

Now we are ready to obtain a contradiction. Let V be a nonsingular locus of X_σ^{an}. Then V^N is a non-empty nonsingular open subset of Z_σ^{an}. Then $\alpha_*^{\mathrm{an}}(\mu_{Z_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},M}) = \mu_{Y_\sigma^{\mathrm{an}},L}$ gives us an equality

$$\frac{c_1(\overline{M})^{\wedge dN}|_{V^N}}{\deg_M(Z)} = \frac{(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}|_{V^N})^* c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge dN}}{\deg_L(Y)} \tag{4.6.6}$$

of (dN, dN)-forms on V^N. Let $p \in V^N$ be a point on the diagonal. Then the left-hand side on (4.6.6) is positive at p (Remark 4.4). On the other hand, since $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}|_{V^N}$ is ramified at p, the right-hand side is not positive at p. That is a contradiction. Thus the proof of Zhang's theorem is complete.

5. Nonarchimedean geometry

In the proof of Zhang, the following are crucially used:

(1) analytic spaces over an archimedean place;
(2) canonical measures over analytic spaces;
(3) equidistribution theorem of small points over analytic spaces.

Over function fields, we do not have (1) above; there does not exist an archimedean place over function fields. Therefore, if we wish to follows the idea of Zhang (and Ullmo), we need counterparts.

The counterparts of (1) that we will use are the Berkovich analytic spaces over some nonarchimedean place instead of complex analytic spaces. Further, over Berkovich analytic spaces, we can consider "canonical measures".

In this section, we briefly review the notions of Berkovich analytic spaces, skeleta, and measures on Berkovich spaces. The reader familiar with those notions can skip this section.

Basic references are Berkovich's original papers [2, 3, 4, 5]. For the exposition in this section, we refer to Nicaise's exposition [29]. This is very accessible to non-experts.

5.1. **Notation and convention.** Throughout this section, let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field that is complete with respect to a non-trivial non-archimedean value $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_{\mathbb{K}}$. Let $\mathbb{K}^\circ$ denote the ring of integers of $\mathbb{K}$ and let k denote the residue field.

Let $\mathbf{K}$ denote a subfield of $\mathbb{K}$. The restriction $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{K}}$ of $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{K}}$ is an absolute value on $\mathbf{K}$. We always assume that $\mathbf{K}$ is complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{K}}$. Let $\mathbf{K}^\circ$ denote the ring of integers of $\mathbf{K}$.

We say that $\mathbf{K}$ is a *complete discretely valued subfield* if $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a complete discrete value on $\mathbf{K}$. We abbreviate such a subfield to a *CDV subfield*. A subring that is the ring of integers of some CDV subfield is called the *complete discrete valuation subring*, abbreviated to a *CDV subring*.

In this paper, we mainly use non-archimedean geometry over $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{K}$. However, we will sometimes consider non-archimedean geometry over a CDV subfield because it will help us to make more accessible description of basic notions on non-archimedean geometry.

Let X be a scheme over $\mathbb{K}$. We say that X *can be defined over a discrete valued field* if it has a model over some CDV subfield.

5.2. **Berkovich analytic spaces and skeleta.** Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a CDV subfield of $\mathbb{K}$. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbf{K}$. For a point $p \in X$, let $\kappa(p)$ denote the residue field at p. We mean by the *(Berkovich) analytic space* associated to X, which we denote by X^{an}, a topological space given as follows: As a set,

$$X^{\mathrm{an}} := \{(p, |\cdot|) \mid p \in X \text{ and } |\cdot| \text{ is an absolute value of } \kappa(p) \text{ extending } |\cdot|_{\mathbf{K}}\};$$

we endow X^{an} with the weakest topology such that the map $\iota : X^{\mathrm{an}} \to X, (p, |\cdot|) \mapsto p$ is continuous and that, for any Zariski open subset U of X and a regular function $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$,

the map $\iota^{-1}(U) \to \mathbb{R}, (p, |\cdot|) \mapsto |g(p)|$ is continuous. It is known that X^{an} is a Hausdorff, locally compact, and locally path-connected space.

Let $x \in X(\mathbf{K})$ be a $\mathbf{K}$-valued point. Then this gives a point of X^{an}. Indeed, the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{K} \hookrightarrow \kappa(x)$ is isomorphism in this case, so that $\kappa(x)$ has a unique absolute value via this isomorphism. Thus we have $X(\mathbf{K}) \subset X^{\mathrm{an}}$ naturally. We call a point in $X(\mathbf{K})$ a *classical point*.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. Then f induces a continuous map $f^{\mathrm{an}} : X^{\mathrm{an}} \to Y^{\mathrm{an}}$ naturally. Indeed, since we have a inclusion $\kappa(f(p)) \hookrightarrow \kappa(p)$ for any $p \in X$, we assign $(p, |\cdot|) \in X^{\mathrm{an}}$ to $(f(p), |\cdot|_{\kappa(f(p))})$, where $|\cdot|_{\kappa(f(p))}$ is the restriction of $|\cdot|$ to $\kappa(f(p))$.

We make a remark on the base-change. Set $X_{\mathbb{K}} := X \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbb{K}$. Then we have a natural map $\rho : X_{\mathbb{K}} \to X$. Furthermore, for each $p \in X_{\mathbb{K}}$, we have $\kappa(\rho(p)) \subset \kappa(p)$, and taking the restriction of the absolute value of $\kappa(p)$ to $\kappa(\rho(p))$, we obtain the natural map $X_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} \to X^{\mathrm{an}}$. One sees that this is continuous and surjective.

5.2.1. *Divisorial points.* A Berkovich space in general has many other points than classical points. The divisorial points associated to the generic points of irreducible components of the special fiber of a model are very important class of them. To make the explanation simple, we assume that $\mathbb{K} = \overline{K}_v$, where K is a function field over k and $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$ is a fixed place. Remark that $k \subset \mathbb{K}$ and the residue field of $\mathbb{K}$ equals k.

First, we define divisorial points in analytic spaces over a CDV subfield. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a CDV subfield. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbf{K}$. Let $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ be a model of X over $\mathbf{K}^\circ$, where $\mathbf{K}^\circ$ is a discrete valuation ring by the assumption. Let ϖ be the uniformizer of $\mathbf{K}^\circ$. Let $\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$ be the set of irreducible components of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$. For each $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$, let ξ_V denote the generic point of V in $\mathscr{X}$.

Assume that for any $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$, $\mathscr{X}$ is normal at ξ_V. Take any $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{X})$. Then the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi_V}$ is a discrete valuation ring, whose fractional field equals the function field $\mathbf{K}(X)$ of X. Remark that $\mathbf{K}(X)$ is the residue field at the generic point of X. Let $\mathrm{ord}_{\xi_V} : \mathbf{K}(X) \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the order function ($\mathrm{ord}_{\xi_V}(0) = +\infty$ by convention). Let m_V denote the multiplicity of V in $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$. Then we define the value $|\cdot|_{\xi_V} : \mathbf{K}(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $|f|_{\xi_V} := |\varpi|^{\mathrm{ord}_{\xi_V}(f)/m_V}$. It is easy to see that the restriction of this absolute value equals $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{K}}$, and thus it gives a point of X^{an}. We denote this point by ξ_V^{an} and call it the *divisorial point associated to* ξ_V *(or* V*)*. Set $\mathrm{DP}(\mathscr{X}) := \{\xi_V^{\mathrm{an}} \in X^{\mathrm{an}} \mid V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})\}$, whose points are called divisorial points with respect to $\mathscr{X}$.

Next, we introduce the divisorial points over $\mathbb{K}$. Although we can define a similar kind of points in general setting, we only consider the models that can be defined over CDV subring, because that is easy to describe and will be enough for our later use. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{K}$. Assume that there exist a CDV subfield with $k \subset \mathbf{K}$ and a model $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ of X such that the special fiber is reduced. For any $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$, $\mathscr{X}$ is then normal at the generic point ξ_V of V. The base-change $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}^\circ)$ is a model of X over $\mathbb{K}^\circ$. Let $\rho : \mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ} \to \mathscr{X}$ be the natural map. Let η be the generic point of an irreducible component of the special fiber of $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}$. Then $\rho(\eta)$ is the generic point of some irreducible component of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$. Thus we have a point $\rho(\eta)^{\mathrm{an}} \in (\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}})^{\mathrm{an}}$, where $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is the generic fiber of $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$. Since the natural map $X^{\mathrm{an}} \to (\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}})^{\mathrm{an}}$ is surjective, there exists a point in X^{an} that lies over $\rho(\eta)$. By our assumption, any irreducible component of

$\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ is geometrically irreducible. From that, one can show that a point of X^{an} over $\rho(\eta)$ is unique. This unique point in X^{an} is called the *divisorial point associated to* η, denoted by η^{an}. Let $\mathrm{DP}(\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ})$ be the set of those divisorial points. One can show that $\mathrm{DP}(\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ})$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{K}$, depending only on $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}$.

5.3. **Skeleta.** A skeleton is a compact subset of an analytic space associated to a strictly semistable model. It has a canonical structure of simplicial set.

5.3.1. *Strictly semistable scheme.* We begin by recalling the notion of strictly semistable schemes over a discrete valuation ring. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a CDV subfield. Let $f : \mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ be a flat morphism of finite type. We say that f is a *strictly semistable scheme* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $\mathscr{X}$ is regular;
(b) f is a generically smooth;
(c) the special fiber $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ is a normal crossing divisor whose irreducible component is geometrically integral and smooth.

Next, we define a strictly semistable scheme over $\mathbb{K}^\circ$. Let $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}^\circ)$ a morphism of scheme. It is called a strictly semistable scheme if there exist a CDV subfield $\mathbf{K}$ and strictly semistable scheme $\mathscr{X}' \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ such that $\mathscr{X} = \mathscr{X}'_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}$.

The above definition is an expedient definition; we have a more general definition which makes sense for those not necessarily defined over a discrete valuation ring. However, we only consider those which can be defined over a discrete valuation subring, because that is easy to describe and will be enough for our use.

5.3.2. *Monomial points.* We recall the notion of strata of an reduced algebraic scheme Z over k. We put $Z^{(0)} := Z$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we inductively define $Z^{(r+1)} \subset Z^{(r)}$ to be the set of non-normal points of $Z^{(r)}$. Then we obtain a descending sequence of closed subsets

$$Z = Z^{(0)} \supsetneq Z^{(1)} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Z^{(s)} \supsetneq Z^{(s+1)} = \emptyset.$$

The irreducible components of $Z^{(r)} \setminus Z^{(r+1)}$ are called the *strata of Z of codimension r*. Let $\mathrm{str}(Z)$ be the set of strata of Z.

Let X be a variety over $\mathbf{K}$ with a strictly semistable model $\mathscr{X}$. Monomial points are the points of X^{an} which are determined from $S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$ and positive real numbers $(u_0, \ldots, u_r)$ with $u_0 + \cdots + u_r = 1$ where r is the codimension of S. To give a more precise description, we first assume that $\mathbf{K}$ is a CDV subfield, and then we explain them for $\mathbb{K}$.

Assume that $\mathbf{K}$ is a CDV subfield. Let ϖ be a uniformizer of $\mathbf{K}^\circ$. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbf{K}$. Assume that X has a strictly semistable model $\mathscr{X}$ over $\mathbf{K}^\circ$, that is, there exists a strictly semistable scheme $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ with generic fiber X. Let S be a stratum of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ of codimension r in $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ and let ξ be the generic point of S. Locally at ξ in $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$, S is given by the intersection $\bigcap_{i=0}^r V_i$ of $r+1$ irreducible components $V_0, \ldots, V_r \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$. (In other words, the closure of S is an irreducible component of $\bigcap_{i=0}^r V_i$.) Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$ be the local ring of $\mathscr{X}$ at ξ. Let $t_0, \ldots, t_r$ be elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$ defining $V_0, \ldots, V_r$ around ξ, respectively. Then since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$ is regular and $V_0, \ldots, V_r$ are normally crossing, $t_0, \ldots, t_r$ is a regular parameter of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$. Note that there exists a unit λ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$ such that $\varpi = \lambda t_0 \cdots t_r$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$. Let $k(\xi)$ be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$. By Cohen's structure theorem of complete regular local rings, there exist a section of the quotient homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}} \to k(\xi)$ and an isomorphism

$$k(\xi)[[t_0, \dots, t_r]] \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}}.$$

We call this isomorphism a Cohen isomorphism for $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}}$.

Put $\Delta^r := \{\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{r+1} \mid u_0 + \cdots + u_r = 1\}$. Then under the setting above, each $\mathbf{u} \in \Delta^r$ determines a point of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{an}}$ due to the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 (cf. [29])**.** *Let $\mathbf{K}(X)$ be the function field of X. For any $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r) \in \Delta^r$, there exists a unique value $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{K}(X) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with the following property. Fix a Cohen isomorphism for $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}}$. Take any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$. Regarding it as a formal power series via the Cohen isomorphism, we write*

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+1}} c_{\mathbf{m}} t^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Then we have

$$\log |f|_{\mathbf{u}} = (\log |\varpi|) \min\{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+1}, c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0\}.$$

Since $\mathbf{K}(X)$ is the residue field of the generic point of X, the absolute value $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{u}}$ in the above proposition gives us a point of X^{an}. We call it the monomial point associated to $(\mathscr{X}, \xi, \mathbf{u})$. For a fixed $\mathscr{X}$, a point of X^{an} that is the *monomial point* associated to $(\mathscr{X}, \xi, \mathbf{u})$. The monomial point associated to $(\mathscr{X}, \xi, \mathbf{u})$ for some stratum S and $\mathbf{u} \in \Delta^r$ is called a monomial point with respect to $\mathscr{X}$.

5.3.3. *Skeleta (over CDV subfields).* By Proposition 5.1, we obtain an injective map $\rho_S : \Delta^r \to \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{an}}$ which maps $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$ to the monomial point given by $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{u}}$. One sees that this map is continuous. Let Δ_S denote the image of Δ^r by this map. Then it is a compact subset of X^{an}. We set $S(\mathscr{X}) := \bigcup_{S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})} \Delta_S$. This is the set of monomial points with respect to $\mathscr{X}$. It is called the *skeleton* of X^{an} associated to $\mathscr{X}$.

The skeleton is a compact subset. Furthermore, it has a canonical structure of simplicial complex which reflects the incidence relation of $\mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$. First, we put a structure of a simplex on Δ_S via the homeomorphism $\rho : \Delta^r \to \Delta_S$. Next, to see the incidence relation, let S and S' be strata of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ and suppose $S' \subset \overline{S}$, where $\overline{S}$ is the Zariski closure of S in $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$. Then we have $\Delta_{S'} \supset \Delta_S$ and Δ_S is a face of $\Delta_{S'}$. Indeed, let ξ and ξ' be the generic points of S and S', respectively. Let $V_0, \dots, V_r$ be the irreducible components of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ such that $S = V_0 \cap \cdots \cap V_r$ around ξ. Since $S' \subset \overline{S}$, there are irreducible components $V_{r+1}, \dots, V_{r'}$ of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ such that $S' = V_0 \cap \cdots \cap V_{r'}$ around ξ'. Let $t_0, \dots, t_{r'} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi'}$ be local equations of $V_0, \dots, V_{r'}$. Then $t_0, \dots, t_{r'}$ form a regular system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi'}$, and $t_0, \dots, t_r$ form that of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{X},\xi}$. Let $(u_0, \dots, u_r) \in \Delta^r$. Note $(u_0, \dots, u_r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \Delta^{r'}$. Let $\rho_S : \Delta^r \to \Delta_S$ and $\rho_{S'} : \Delta^{r'} \to \Delta_{S'}$ be the homeomorphism defined above. Then by the uniqueness assertion of Proposition 5.1, one can show that $\rho_S(u_0, \dots, u_r) = \rho(u_0, \dots, u_r, 0, \dots, 0)$. This means that $\Delta_{S'}$ contains Δ_S as a face. To the contrary, suppose that $\Delta_{S'} \supset \Delta_S$. Then one can show that Δ_S is a face of $\Delta_{S'}$ and $S' \subset \overline{S}$. Thus $S(\mathscr{X}) := \bigcup_{S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})} \Delta_S$ is a simplicial complex which reflects the incidence relation of $\mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}})$.

This will not be really used in the sequel, but let us give a remark when $\dim(X) = 1$. In this case, we have a notion of dual graph by configuration of the special fiber of $\mathscr{X}$. The skeleton $S(\mathscr{X})$ is the realization of the dual graph inside the analytic space X^{an}.

5.3.4. *Skeleta (over $\mathbb{K}$).* Now we consider skeleta over $\mathbb{K}$. Let X be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{K}$. Assume that there exist a CDV subfield $\mathbf{K}$ and a strictly semistable model $\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ of X. The generic fiber $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a smooth variety over $\mathbf{K}$. Let $\rho : X^{\mathrm{an}} \to (\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}})^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the natural surjective map. Then one can show that for any $u \in S(\mathscr{X}) \subset (\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}})^{\mathrm{an}}$, i.e., a monomial point of $(\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{K}})^{\mathrm{an}}$ with respect to $\mathscr{X}$, $\rho^{-1}(u)$ consists of one point. It follows that $\rho^{-1}(S(\mathscr{X}))$ is a compact subset of X^{an} and has a structure of simplicial complex. Furthermore, one can show that this does not depend on the choice of $\mathbf{K}$ but depends only on the model $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}$ over $\mathbb{K}^\circ$. We denote this set by $S(\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}})$ and call it the *skeleton* of X^{an} associated to $\mathscr{X}$. This skeleton reflects the incidence relation of the special fiber of $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}$.

We can actually define the skeleton of the analytic space associated to any strictly semistable model over $\mathbb{K}$, but we do not do that, because in our use, the strictly semistable model can be defined over a CDV subring.

5.4. **Metrics on line bundles.** In this subsection, we recall basic notions of metrics on line bundles. We refer to [45].

Let V be a 1-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. A *metric* $||\cdot||_V$ *on* V is a nontrivial function $V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $||\lambda v||_V = |\lambda|||v||_V$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, where $|\lambda|$ is the absolute value of λ.

Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{K}$ and let L be a line bundle on X. A metric on L is a collection $\{||\cdot||_{L(x)}\}_{x \in X(\mathbb{K})}$ of metrics on the 1-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $L(x)$ for all $x \in X(\mathbb{K})$, where $L(x) = L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \kappa(x)$, the fiber of L over the $\mathbb{K}$-valued point x.

Among the metrics on line bundles, there are two very important classes of metrics: the algebraic metrics and the semipositive metrics. The algebraic metrics are the metrics determined from models over $\mathbb{K}^\circ$, and the semipositive metrics are the metrics that are the "uniform limit" of algebraic metrics arising from vertically nef models.

First, we recall the algebraic metrics. Let X be a projective variety over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $(\mathscr{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}^\circ), \mathscr{L})$ be a proper flat model of (X, L). Take any point $x \in X(\mathbb{K})$. By the valuative criterion of properness, x extends to a section $\sigma_x : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}^\circ) \to \mathscr{X}$. There exists an open neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of the image of σ_x with a trivialization $\varphi : \mathscr{L}|_{\mathscr{U}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{U}}$. Restricting this trivialization to the generic fibers, we obtain an isomorphism $\varphi_U : \mathscr{L}|_U \to \mathcal{O}_U$, where U is the generic fiber of $\mathscr{U}$. For any $s(x) \in L(x)$, $\varphi_U(s(x))$ is an element of $\mathcal{O}_U(x) = \mathbb{K}$, and we set $||s(x)||_{\mathscr{L}(x)} := |\varphi_U(s(x))|_{\mathbb{K}}$. It is not difficult to see that $||s(x)||_{\mathscr{L}(x)}$ does not depend on any choices other than the model $\mathscr{L}$. Thus we obtain a metric $||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}} = \{||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}(x)}\}_{x \in X(\mathbb{K})}$ on L. This metric is called the *algebraic metric arising from* $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L})$.

We sometimes consider a model $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L})$ of (X, L) over a CDV subring and consider the algebraic metric arising from $(\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}, \mathscr{L}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ})$, the base-change of $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L})$ to $\mathbb{K}$ over the CDV subring. To ease notation, this algebraic metric is denoted by $||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}}$ instead by $||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}_{\mathbb{K}^\circ}}$. We say that such an algebraic metric *can be defined over a CDV subring*.

A line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $\mathscr{X}$ is said to be *vertically nef* if $\widetilde{\mathscr{L}} := \mathscr{L}|_{\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}}$ is nef. A metric that equals to $||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}}$ for some vertically nef $\mathscr{L}$ is said to be *semipositive*.

The notion of algebraic metrics is immediately generalized to the notion of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics. Let L be a line bundle on a projective variety over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $||\cdot||$ be a metric on

L. We call $||\cdot||$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-*algebraic metric* if there exists a positive integer N such that $||\cdot||^{\otimes N}$, which is a metric on $L^{\otimes N}$, is an algebraic metric. Furthermore, if N can be taken in such a way that $||\cdot||^{\otimes N}$ can be defined over a CDV subring, we say that $||\cdot||$ can be defined over a CDV subring. A $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metric $||\cdot||$ is said to be *semipositive* if $||\cdot||^{\otimes N}$ is an algebraic and semipositive metric for some $N \geq 1$.

We would like to define the notion of uniform limit of a sequence of metrics. Before that, we define a function from two metric on a line bundle. Let $||\cdot||$ and $||\cdot||'$ be metrics of a line bundle L. We define a function $-\log(||\cdot||/||\cdot||')$ on $X(\mathbb{K})$ by

$$-\log\frac{||\cdot||}{||\cdot||'}(x) := -\log\frac{||s(x)||}{||s(x)||'},$$

where s is a local section of L that is non-zero at x. This does not depend on the choice of s and gives a well-defined function on $X(\mathbb{K})$. Now, let $||\cdot||$ be a metric on L and let $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of metrics on L. We say that $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *uniformly converges to* $||\cdot||$ if $-\log(||\cdot||/||\cdot||_n)$ is a bounded function on $X(\overline{K})$ and if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{x\in X(\mathbb{K})}\left\{-\log\frac{||\cdot||}{||\cdot||_n}(x)\right\} \to 0.$$

If this is the case, we call $||\cdot||$ is the *uniform limit* of $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Let $(L, ||\cdot||)$ be a metrized line bundle. We say that $||\cdot||$ is *semipositive*, if it is a uniform limit of a sequence of semipositive $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of projective variety over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\overline{L}$ and $\overline{M}$ be line bundles on X and Y with semipositive metrics, respectively. Then the metric of $\overline{L} \otimes f^*(\overline{M})$ is semipositive.*

Let us give some comments. In the above, we consider metrics over $X(\mathbb{K})$, but in fact, we can do that over the analytic space X^{an}. Indeed, we can define algebraic metrics as a metric on line bundles on X^{an}. The algebraic metrics are continuous with respect to the topology on X^{an}. It follows that the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics are also continuous metrics. Furthermore, a semipositive metric is actually defined to be the uniform limit of a sequence of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics over X^{an} in the usual sense. Therefore a semipositive metric is continuous on X^{an} in the usual sense. We refer to [12, § 7] for details.

5.5. **Chambert-Loir measures.** Let X be a projective variety over $\mathbb{K}$ of dimension d. Let $\overline{L} = (L, ||\cdot||)$ be a line bundle on X equipped with a semipositive metric. Then we can associate a regular Borel measure $c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}$, which we are going to explain.

In this subsection, we assume that X can be defined over a CDV subfield. Further, we only considers semipositive metrics which are the limit of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics that can be defined over a CDV subring.

First, we consider the case where the metric $||\cdot||$ is algebraic. By definition, there exist a discrete valued subfield $\mathbf{K}$, and a model $(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{L})$ of (X, L) over $\mathbf{K}^\circ$ such that $||\cdot|| = ||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}}$. Further, replacing $\mathbf{K}^\circ$ by a finite extension, we can take a proper flat morphism $\varphi : \mathscr{X}' \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$ with reduced special fiber and a generically finite surjective morphism $\mathscr{X}' \to \mathscr{X}$ over $\mathbf{K}^\circ$. (In fact, de Jong's semistable alteration theorem will give us such $\varphi : \mathscr{X}' \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ)$; see § 7.1 in the sequel.) Set $\mathscr{L}' := \nu^*(\mathscr{L})$. Put $X' := \mathscr{X}' \otimes_{\mathbf{K}^\circ} \mathbf{K}$ and

$X'_{\mathbb{K}} := X' \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbb{K}$. Then the metric of the pull-back $\overline{L'} := \nu|^*_{X'_{\mathbb{K}}}(\overline{L})$ is the algebraic metric associated to the model $(\mathscr{X}', \mathscr{L}')$. Let $\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})$ be the set of irreducible component of the special fiber of $\mathscr{X}'$. For each $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})$, let ξ_V denote the generic point of V. Since φ is flat and $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'}$ is reduced at ξ_V, $\mathscr{X}'$ is normal at ξ_V. Therefore we have a corresponding point $\xi_V^{\mathrm{an}} \in (X')^{\mathrm{an}}$. As we noted in § 5.2.1, there exists a unique point η_V which maps to ξ_V^{an} by the canonical map $(X'_{\mathbb{K}})^{\mathrm{an}} \to (X')^{\mathrm{an}}$. With those notation, we define a measure $c_1(\overline{L'})^{\wedge d}$ on $(X'_{\mathbb{K}})^{\mathrm{an}}$ to be

$$\sum_{V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})} \deg_{\mathscr{L}'}(V)\delta_{\eta_V},$$

where δ_{η_V} is the Dirac measure of η_V. It is a regular Borel measure with total mass $\deg_{L'}(X')$. To define a regular Borel measure $c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}$ on X^{an}, let $\nu^{\mathrm{an}} : (X'_{\mathbb{K}})^{\mathrm{an}} \to X_{\mathrm{an}}$ be the morphism of analytic spaces associated to ν. Consider the pushout $\nu^{\mathrm{an}}_*(c_1(\overline{L'})^{\wedge d})$. Then one shows that this does not depend on the choice of $\mathscr{X}'$ or ν, and hence it is well defined for $(X, \overline{L})$. We define $\nu^{\mathrm{an}}_*(c_1(\overline{L'})^{\wedge d})$ to be $c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}$. This measure also has total mass $\deg_L(X)$. It is called the *Chambert-Loir measure* of $\overline{L}$. It is originally defined by Chambert-Loir [8] and is also developed by Gubler [14, Section 3]

Remark 5.3. If one works over the framework of admissible formal schemes, one can define the Chambert-Loir measure without using the generically finite morphism ν as above. In fact, one can take a model of $(X, \overline{L})$ in the category of admissible formal schemes with reduced fiber, and using those models will lead to the definition of the Chambert-Loir measure.

Next assume that $\overline{L}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraically metrized line bundle on X and let N be a positive integer such that $\overline{L}^{\otimes N}$ is a line bundle with an algebraic metric associated to a model that can be defined over a CDV ring. In this case, we define

$$c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d} := \frac{1}{N^d} c_1(\overline{L}^{\otimes N})^{\wedge d}.$$

This is a regular Borel measure of total mass $\deg_L(X)$.

Finally, let $||\cdot||$ be a semipositive metric. We take a sequence $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of semipositive $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics that can be define over a CDV subring such that $||\cdot||$ is the uniform limit of $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 5.4 (cf. [8])**.** *With the notation above, the sequence of regular Borel measures $(c_1(L, ||\cdot||_n)^{\wedge d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to a regular Borel measure. Furthermore, the weak limit does not depend on the choice of the sequence $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and depends only on $||\cdot||$.*

By the above proposition, we define the *Chambert-Loir measure* $c_1(\overline{L})^{\wedge d}$ of $\overline{L} = (L, ||\cdot||)$ to be the weak limit of $(c_1(L, ||\cdot||_n)^{\wedge d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. This has total mass $\deg_L(X)$.

5.6. **Nonarchimedean Canonical metrics and canonical measures.** Let A be an abelian variety $\mathbb{K}$. Let L be an even line bundle. A *rigidification of* L is an isomorphism $L(0) \cong \mathbb{K}$, where 0 is the zero element of A.

For a natural number $m > 1$, there exists an isomorphism $\phi : [m]^*(L) \to L^{\otimes n^2}$, where $[m] : A \to A$ is the m times endomorphism. Once we fix a rigidification $L(0) = \mathbb{K}$ of L, the isomorphism which respects the rigidification is unique. Indeed, then $[m]^*(L)$ has the

rigidification $[m]^*(L)(0) \cong \mathbb{K}$ by pullback, and $L^{\otimes m^2}$ has the rigidification $L^{\otimes m^2}(0) \cong \mathbb{K}$ by tensor product, so that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\cong} [m]^*(L)(0) \xrightarrow{\phi} L^{\otimes m^2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}.$$

Then we have a unique choice of ϕ in such a way that the above isomorphism is an identity. That is an isomorphism ϕ which respects the rigidification.

Proposition 5.5 (cf. Theorem 9.5.4 of [7])**.** *Let A and L be as above. Fix a rigidification of L. Then there exists a unique metric $||\cdot||$ on L with the following property. For any m, let $\phi : [m]^*L \to L^{\otimes m^2}$ be the isomorphism which respects the rigidification. Then ϕ induces an isometry $[m]^*(L, ||\cdot||) \cong (L^{\otimes m^2}, ||\cdot||^{\otimes m^2})$ of metrized line bundles. Furthermore, suppose that L is ample. Then this metric is semipositive.*

We call the metric in this proposition the *canonical metric of L (with respect to the rigidification)*. The canonical metric depends on the choice of the rigidification, but the difference is only up to positive constant multiple.

We do not give a precise proof of the above theorem, but when L is ample, we briefly describe how the semipositive canonical metric is constructed on L under the following assumption: The abelian variety A and the even ample line bundle L can be defined over a CDV subfield $\mathbf{K}$; further, the rigidification we consider can be defined over $\mathbf{K}$. (Suppose $A = A' \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbb{K}$ and $L = L' \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbb{K}$. Then we say that the rigidification $L(0) \cong \mathbb{K}$ can be defined over $\mathbf{K}$ if it is the base-change of an isomorphism $L'(0) \cong \mathbf{K}$.) We construct the metric by making a sequence of semipositive $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics by induction. We start with any proper model $(\mathscr{A}_1, \mathscr{L}_1)$ over $\mathbf{K}^\circ$ such that $\mathscr{L}_1$ is vertically nef. We can take such a model because L is ample. Fix a natural number $m > 1$. The m-times homomorphism $[m] : A \to A$ does not extend to $\mathscr{A}_1 \to \mathscr{A}_1$ in general, but if we take a suitable proper model $\mathscr{A}_2$ of A, then $[m] : A \to A$ extends to a morphism $f_1 : \mathscr{A}_2 \to \mathscr{A}_1$. Set $\mathscr{L}_2 := f_1^*(\mathscr{L}_1)$ The pair $(\mathscr{A}_2, \mathscr{L}_2)$ is a model of $(A, [m]^*(L))$. Since $\mathscr{L}_1$ is vertically nef, so is $\mathscr{L}_2$. Since we have the isomorphism $[m]^*(L) \cong L^{\otimes m^2}$ which respects the rigidification, that model is naturally regarded as a model of $(A, L^{\otimes m^2})$. This gives us an algebraic metric on $L^{\otimes m^2}$ and hence defines a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metric $||\cdot||_2$ on L. Since $f^*(\mathscr{L}_1)$ is vertically nef, $||\cdot||_2$ is semipositive.

Next, we take a model $\mathscr{A}_3$ with a morphism $f_2 : \mathscr{A}_3 \to \mathscr{A}_2$ extending $[m] : A \to A$. Set $\mathscr{L}_3 := f_2^*(\mathscr{L}_2)$, which is vertically nef, and consider $(\mathscr{A}_3, \mathscr{L})$. Then via the isomorphism $[m]^*(L) \cong L^{\otimes m^2}$, this is a model of $(A, L^{\otimes m^4})$. Thus this defines a semipositive $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metric $||\cdot||_3$ on L.

Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence

$$(\pi_n : \mathscr{A}_n \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{K}^\circ), \mathscr{L}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{5.5.7}$$

whose nth term is a model of $(A, L^{\otimes m^{2(n-1)}})$ and which gives a sequence of semipositive $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic metrics $(||\cdot||_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ on L; one can show that this sequence converges to a metric $||\cdot||$ on L. It is semipositive, and furthermore it has the required property.

Remark 5.6. Suppose that the abelian variety A over $\mathbb{K}$ is nondegenerate. Then the canonical metric is an algebraic metric. Indeed, fix a rigidification $L(0) = \mathbb{K}$. Since A is nondegenerate, we take a model $(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{L})$ of (A, L) such that $\pi : \mathscr{A} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}^\circ)$ is an abelian scheme. Let 0_π be the zero-section of π. Then the identity $L(0) = \mathbb{K}$ extends to

an isomorphism $0_\pi(\mathscr{L}) \otimes \mathscr{N} \cong \mathbb{K}^\circ$ for some line bundle $\mathscr{N}$ on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}^\circ)$. Replacing $\mathscr{L}$ by $\mathscr{L} \otimes \pi^*(\mathscr{N})$, we assume that the rigidification extends to $0_\pi^*(\mathscr{L}) \cong \mathbb{K}^\circ$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $[n]^*(\mathscr{L}) \cong \mathscr{L}^{\otimes n^2}$. It follows that the metric $||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}}$ satisfies $[n]^*(||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}}) = ||\cdot||_{\mathscr{L}}^{\otimes n^2}$ on L. Thus the the canonical metric is an algebraic metric.

Finally, we define the canonical measure. Let A and L be as above. Fix a rigidification of L, and let $\overline{L}$ be the line bundle L with the canonical metric. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Since the canonical metric is semipositive, the metric of the restriction $\overline{L}|_X$ is also semipositive by Proposition 5.4. It follows that we have the Chambert-Loir measure $c_1(\overline{L}|_X)^{\wedge d}$ on X^{an}. By the semipositivity of the canonical metric, this is a positive measure. We can show that this measure does not depend on the choice of the rigidification, and hence it is well defined for L. One often calls it the canonical measure, but in this paper, we call the probability measure

$$\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}},L} := \frac{1}{\deg_L(X)} c_1(\overline{L}|_X)^{\wedge d},$$

given by normalizing that, the *canonical measure on* X^{an} *associated to* L.

Remark 5.7. Suppose that the abelian variety A over $\mathbb{K}$ is nondegenerate. Then since the canonical metric is an algebraic metric (cf. Remark 5.6), the canonical measure is a linear combination of Dirac measures of points.

6. Proof of Gubler's theorem

Recall that in the proof of Zhang over number fields, analytic spaces over archimedean place, canonical measures on analytic spaces, and equidistribution theorem were key ingredients. Over function fields, we have (candidates of) the counterparts of the first two items; they are Berkovich analytic spaces over non-archimedean place and the canonical measure on them. However, we have not yet had that of the third. As we noted, the equidistribution theorem on Berkovich spaces had not been established yet at the time when Gubler proved his theorem.

In such a situation, Gubler considered the tropicalization of closed subvarieties of totally degenerate abelian varieties and canonical measures, and he established the equidistribution theorem over tropical varieties with respect to the tropical canonical measure, instead.

In the sequel, we follow [14, § A] for teminology of basic notions on convex geometry.

6.1. **Uniformization and tropicalization of totally degenerate abelian varieties.** In this subsection, let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed field that is complete with respect to a nontrivial non-archimedean value $|\cdot|$. (The most important example in this paper is $\overline{K}_v$.) The subset $\{-\log|a| \mid a \in \mathbb{K}^\times\}$ is called the value group of $|\cdot|$. For simplicity, we assume that the value group equals $\mathbb{Q}$. For an algebraic variety X over $\mathbb{K}$, let X^{an} denote the associated Berkovich analytic space.

Let A be an abelian variety over $\mathbb{K}$ of dimension n. Assume that A is totally degenerate. Then there exists a homomorphism of group analytic spaces $p : (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} \to A^{\mathrm{an}}$ such that $\mathrm{Ker}(p)$ is a free abelian group of rank n. (That is an alternative definition of totally degenerate abelian varieties.) This p is the universal covering of $(A^{\mathrm{an}}, 0)$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{G}_m^n$. For any x_i $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and $P = (\iota(P), |\cdot|) \in (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}}$

(see § 5.2 for the notation), write $|x_i(P)| := |x_i(\iota(P))|$ where $x_i(\iota(P))$ the image of x_i in the residue field $\kappa(\iota(P))$ of $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\mathbb{K}}}$ at $\iota(P)$. We consider the map $\mathrm{val} : (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\mathrm{val}(P) = (-\log|x_1(P)|, \ldots, -\log|x_n(P)|).$$

Then one shows that $\Lambda := \mathrm{val}(\mathrm{Ker}(p))$ is a complete lattice of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus val descends to a homomorphism $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$. This homomorphism called the *tropicalization map* of A^{an}. To summarize, we obtain the following commutative diagram in which all the maps are continuous homomorphisms;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{G}_m^n)_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} & \longrightarrow & A^{\mathrm{an}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda. \end{array}$$

The tropicalization maps are compatible with homomorphisms of abelian varieties and direct products. To be precise, let A_1 and A_2 be an abelian varieties over $\mathbb{K}$, and suppose that A_1 and A_2 are totally degenerate. Let $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ be a homomorphism of abelian varieties, first. Let $p_1 : (\mathbb{G}_m^{n_1})_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} \to A_1^{\mathrm{an}}$ and $p_2 : (\mathbb{G}_m^{n_2})_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} \to A_2^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the uniformizations. Then ϕ lifts to a homomorphism $(\mathbb{G}_m^{n_1})_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}} \to (\mathbb{G}_m^{n_2})_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. Furthermore, this induces a linear map $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, which descents to a homomorphism $\phi_{\mathrm{aff}} : \mathbb{R}^{n_1}/\Lambda_1 \to \mathbb{R}^{n_2}/\Lambda_2$ of real tori. Further, consider the direct product $A_1 \times A_2$ and let $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : (A_1 \times A_2)^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ be the tropicalization. Then we have $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^{n_1}/\Lambda_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}/\Lambda_2$

We will use the following lemma in Gubler's proof.

Lemma 6.1. *Let A_1 and A_2 be an abelian varieties over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ be a homomorphism of abelian varieties. Suppose that A_1 is totally degenerate and that ϕ is surjective. Then A_2 is totally degenerate.*

Proof. See [15, Lemma 6.1]. □

The following theorem is a fundamental theorem of tropical analytic geometry.

Theorem 6.2 (cf. Theorem 1.1 of [14])**.** *Let A be a totally degenerate abelian variety over $\mathbb{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A of dimension d. Then the image $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(X^{\mathrm{an}})$ is a rational polytopal subset of $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ of pure dimension d.*

We call $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(X^{\mathrm{an}})$ the *tropicalization of* X^{an} or the *tropical variety associated to* X.

6.2. **Tropical canonical measure.** From here on to the end of this section, let K be a function field unless otherwise specified. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let v be a place of $\overline{K}$. Assume that $A_v := A \otimes_{\overline{K}} \overline{K}_v$ is totally degenerate. Let $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ be the tropicalization map of A_v, where $n := \dim(A)$.

Let X be a closed subvariety of A of dimension d. We consider the canonical measure $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ on X_v^{an} of an even line bundle on A. The pushout $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_*(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$ is a measure on $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(X_v^{\mathrm{an}})$, which is called a *tropical canonical measure*. We write $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}} := \overline{\mathrm{val}}_*(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$.

The following theorem describes the tropical canonical measures.

Theorem 6.3. *Let A, v, $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$, and X be as above. Let L be an even line bundle on A and let $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be the canonical measure associated to L. Then there exists a*

rational simplices $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_m$ *of* $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ *of dimension* d *and positive real numbers* $r_1, \ldots, r_m$ *such that* $\mathrm{relin}(\Delta_i) \cap \mathrm{relin}(\Delta_j) = \emptyset$ *for* $i \neq j$, $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(X_v^{\mathrm{an}}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Delta_i$, *and such that*

$$\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}} = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \delta_{\Delta_i}$$

where $\mathrm{relin}(\Delta_i)$ *is the relative interior of* Δ_i *and* δ_{Δ_i} *is the relative Lebesgue measure on* Δ_i. *Furthermore, if* L *is ample, then* $r_i > 0$ *for all* $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

Remark 6.4. Let Δ be a simplex with $\Delta \subset \overline{\mathrm{val}}(X_v^{\mathrm{an}})$. By Theorem 6.3, if $\dim(\Delta) = d$, then $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}}(\Delta) > 0$. Further, if $\dim(\Delta) < d$, then $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}}(\Delta) = 0$.

6.3. **Tropical equidistribution theorem.** Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Let K' be a finite extension of K over which A and X can be defined. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{K'}(\overline{K})$ be the group of automorphisms of $\overline{K}$ over K'. For a point $x \in X(\overline{K})$, let $O(x)$ denote the $\mathrm{Aut}_{K'}(\overline{K})$-orbit of x in $X(\overline{K})$. Let $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$ be a place and regard $X(\overline{K}) \subset X_v^{\mathrm{an}}$.

Theorem 6.5 (cf. Theorem 5.5 of [16])**.** *Let* A, X, *and* $O(x)$ *for* $x \in X(\overline{K})$ *be as above. Let* $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ *be a generic small net on* $X(\overline{K})$. *Let* $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ *be the canonical measure on* X_v^{an} *of an even ample line bundle on* A. *Assume that* A_v *is totally degenerate. Let* $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ *be the tropicalization map. Then we have a weak convergence*

$$\varinjlim_i \frac{1}{\#O(x_i)} \sum_{z \in O(x_i)} \delta_{\overline{\mathrm{val}}(z)} = \mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}}$$

of regular Borel measures on $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(X_v^{\mathrm{an}})$, *where* δ_z *is the Dirac measure of* z.

6.4. **Proof of Gubler.** Let us start the proof of Gubler. To argue by contradiction, suppose that there exist an abelian variety A over $\overline{K}$ that is totally degenerate at some place v and a non-torsion subvariety X of A with dense small points. Let G_X be the stabilizer of X. Then A/G_X is totally degenerate at v by Lemma 6.1, and X/G_X has dense small points by Lemma 2.15. Replacing A and X with A/G_X and X/G_X respectively, we may assume that X is a non-torsion subvariety of A which has dense small points and has trivial stabilizer. Since the theorem holds for 0-dimensional subvarieties (cf. Remark 3.5 (1)), we have $d := \dim(X) > 0$.

For a positive integer N, let $\alpha_N : X^N \to A^{N-1}$ be the difference morphism, given by $(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \mapsto (x_1 - x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1} - x_N)$. Set $Z := X^N \subset A^N$ and $Y := \alpha_N(Z)$. Since X has trivial stabilizer, there exists an N such that the restriction $\alpha : Z \to Y$ is generically finite by Lemma 4.6.

Remark that $(A^N)_v$ and $(A^{N-1})_v$ are totally degenerate. Let $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_1 : (A^N)_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^{Nn}/\Lambda_1$ and $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_2 : (A^{N-1})_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)n}/\Lambda_2$ be the tropicalization maps, where $n := \dim(A)$. The induced affine homomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{Nn}/\Lambda_1 \to \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)n}/\Lambda_2$ restricts to a surjective piecewise affine map $\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}} : \overline{\mathrm{val}}_1(Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}) \to \overline{\mathrm{val}}_2(Y_v^{\mathrm{an}})$ between Nd-dimensional polytopal sets. Let $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be canonical measures on Z_v^{an} and Y_v^{an} of even ample line bundles, respectively. We put $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}} := (\overline{\mathrm{val}}_1)_*(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$ and $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^{\mathrm{trop}} := (\overline{\mathrm{val}}_2)_*(\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$. Since X has dense small points, so is Z. By Lemma 2.17, there exists a generic small net $(z_i)_{i \in I}$ of $Z(\overline{K})$. Since $\alpha : Z \to Y$ is surjective,

$(\alpha(z_i))_{i\in I}$ is a generic net on $Y(\overline{K})$ as well. Since we have the equidistribution theorem Theorem 6.5, the same argument as Zhang's proof using the equidistribution theorem gives us $(\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}})_*(\mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$.

Let $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_0 : A_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_0$ be the tropicalization map. Since the tropicalization is compatible with direct products, we have $\mathbb{R}^{Nn}/\Lambda_1 = (\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda_0)^N$ and $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_1(Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}) = (\overline{\mathrm{val}}_0(X_v^{\mathrm{an}}))^N$. Since $d = \dim(X) > 0$, the diagonal of $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_1(Z_v^{\mathrm{an}})$ has positive dimension (cf. Theorem 6.2). Since $\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ is linear and contracts the diagonal to a point, there exists an Nd-dimensional simplex $\Delta \subset \overline{\mathrm{val}}_1(X_v^{\mathrm{an}})$ such that $\dim(\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\Delta)) < Nd$. Since $\mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is a piecewise relative Lebesgue measure of dimension Nd, it follows that $\mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}(\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\Delta)) = 0$ (cf. Remark 6.4). On the other hand, since $(\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}})_*(\mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$, we have

$$\mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}(\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\Delta)) \geq \mu^{\mathrm{trop}}_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}(\Delta).$$

Since $\dim(\Delta) = \dim(Z)$, the right-hand sides of this inequality should be positive (cf. Remark 6.4). However, that is a contradiction. Thus the proof is complete.

6.5. **Non-archimedean equidistribution theorem.** Gubler had to establish the tropical equidistribution theorem (Theorem 6.5) because no equidistribution theorem that can be applied to his setting was not known when he proved his theorem. However, he established in [16] a non-archimedean equidistribution theorem, afterward.

Proposition 6.6 (cf. Theorem 1.1 of [16])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Let $O(x)$ for $x \in X(\overline{K})$ be as in § 6.3. Let $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$ be a place and regard $X(\overline{K}) \subset X_v^{\mathrm{an}}$. Let $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be the canonical measure on X_v^{an} of an even ample line bundle on A. Let $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ be a generic small net on $X(\overline{K})$. Then we have a weak convergence*

$$\varinjlim_i \frac{1}{\#O(x_i)} \sum_{z\in O(x_i)} \delta_z = \mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}},$$

where δ_z is the Dirac measure of the point $z \in X_v^{\mathrm{an}}$.

6.6. **Naive imitation of Zhang's proof.** In the last subsection, we have finally obtained candidates of counterparts of the three ingredients of the Zhang's proof. Now, let us try to apply Zhang's proof to the setting of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture. To show the conjecture by contradiction, suppose that there exists a counterexample to the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties. Then it is same as the case of the proofs of Zhang's theorem and Gubler's theorem that there exist an abelian variety A over $\overline{K}$ and a non-special closed subvariety X of A such that X has dense small points, $d := \dim(X) > 0$, and X has trivial stabilizer. For a positive integer N, we consider the difference homomorphism $\alpha_N : X^N \to A^{N-1}$, and let $\alpha : Z \to Y$ be the restriction from $Z := X^N$ to $Y := \alpha_N(Z)$. For large N, α is generically finite by Lemma 4.6, as well as surjective. Since X has dense small points, so does Z. Fix a $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$. Let $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}} : Z_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the associated map between analytic spaces. Since we have Proposition 6.6, the same argument gives us

$$\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}_*(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}, \tag{6.6.8}$$

where $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ are the canonical measures on Z_v^{an} and Y_v^{an} associated to even ample line bundles, respectively.

Then the problem is how to deduce a contradiction from the equality (6.6.8). In the Gubler's totally degenerate setting, we can deduce a contradiction from (6.6.8). Indeed, assume that A_v is totally degenerate. Then the support of $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and that of $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ have dimension $Nd = \dim(Z) = \dim(Y)$. Further, the supports have a structure of polytopal set of pure dimension Nd, and for a suitable simplicial decompositions, $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ are linear combinations of relative Lebesgue measures of simplices of dimension Nd. Roughly speaking, this indicates that we have the same situation as Gubler's proof without passing to the tropicalization. Therefore, we can get a contradiction by the same way.

However, the equality (6.6.8) does not necessarily lead to a contradiction. For example, assume that A_v is nondegenerate. Then A_v^N and A_v^{N-1} are also nondegenerate. In the nondegenerate case, the canonical measures are linear combinations of Dirac measure of points, as is noted in Remark 5.7. Thus there is nothing strange with the equality (6.6.8).

That observation suggests that if A is nowhere degenerate, then the equidistribution method is not efficient; it is not almighty. If we wish to apply this method to the geometric Bogomolov conjecture, we have to restrict the setting where the method works. In the sequel, we will apply the equidistribution argument to the proof of a weaker version of Theorem 3.7 (cf. Proposition 8.2). In that setting, detailed analysis of the canonical measures gives us enough information to lead to a contradiction in (6.6.8).

7. Structure of canonical measures

In this section, we review the structure of canonical measure due to Gubler. We refer to [17] for the detail.

Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Further, fix a $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$ and put $\mathbb{K} := \overline{K}_v$. We write $X_v := X \otimes_{\overline{K}} \mathbb{K}$. Remark that X_v can be defined over a CDV subfield (of $\mathbb{K}$).

7.1. **Semistable alteration.** Let A_v and X_v be as above. We mean by a *semistable alteration* for X a pair $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ consisting of a strictly semistable scheme $\mathscr{X}'$ over $\mathbb{K}$ that can be defined over a CDV subring and a generically finite surjective morphism $f : X' \to X$ where X' is the generic fiber of $\mathscr{X}'$.

By de Jong's semistable alteration theorem in [10], there always exists a semistable alteration for X_v. In order to describe the canonical measure, however, we have to use a semistable alteration that is "compatible with Mumford models of A_v".

A *Mumford model* of A_v is a proper admissible formal scheme $\mathscr{A} \to \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{K}^\circ)$ with "Raynaud generic fiber" A_v^{an} that is associated to a polytopal decomposition of some real torus. Each stratum of the special fiber has a structure of torus torsor over an abelian variety over k. Although the Mumford models are important to obtain the description of the canonical measures, in this paper we do not make a further explanation of them; see [17, § 4]. Let us just recall the following lemma, which shows us the existence of semistable alteration that is compatible with a given Mumford model. The condition for a semistable alteration to be compatible with a Mumford model is needed to describe the structure of the canonical measures.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\mathscr{A} \to \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{K}^\circ)$ be a Mumford model of A_v. Then there exists semistable alteration $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ for X_v such that the morphism f' extends to a morphism $\widehat{\mathscr{X}'} \to \mathscr{A}$ of formal schemes.*

For a given Mumford model, a semistable alteration as in the above lemma is said to be compatible with the Mumford model.

Remark 7.2. In the sequel, we only consider semistable alterations that is compatible with some Mumford model.

7.2. **Gubler's description of canonical measures.** Let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Let L_v denote the pullback of L to A_v. Put a canonical metric $||\cdot||$ (with respect to a rigidification of L) on L_v, and we have a canonically metrized line bundle $\overline{L_v} = (L_v, ||\cdot||)$. The canonical metric is a semipositive metric (cf. Proposition 5.5), and hence the restriction $\overline{L_v}|_{X_v}$ is also a semipositive metric by Lemma 5.2.

Let $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ be a semistable alteration (cf. Remark 7.2). By Lemma 5.2, $f^*(\overline{L_v})$ is a semipositively metrized line bundle on $(X')^{\mathrm{an}}$, so that we consider the (normalized) Chambert-Loir measure $\frac{1}{\deg_{f^*(L)}(X')} c_1(f^*(\overline{L_v}))^{\wedge d}$ on $(X')^{\mathrm{an}}$, where $d := \dim(X) = \dim(X')$. For a stratum S of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'}$, let Δ_S denote the canonical simplex corresponding to S. Recall that $S(\mathscr{X}') = \bigcup_{S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})} \Delta_S$.

Proposition 7.3 (Corollary 6.9 of [17])**.** *With the above notation, we can express*

$$\frac{1}{\deg_{f^*(L)}(X')} c_1(f^*(\overline{L_v}))^{\wedge d} = \sum_{S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})} r_S \delta_{\Delta_S},$$

where r_S is a nonnegative real number, Δ_S is the canonical simplex corresponding to S, and δ_{Δ_S} is the relative Lebesgue measure of Δ_S. Furthermore, whether r_S is positive or not does not depend on the choice of the even ample line bundle L on A.

Remark 7.4.
(1) In [17], Gubler defines the notion that Δ_S is *non-degenerate with respect to f*. We omit the Gubler's definition in this paper but remark that this notion is determined from $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ and does not depend on the choice of L. Furthermore, he proves that Δ_S is nondegenerate if and only if $r_S > 0$ in the description of Proposition 7.3 for any even ample line bundle L. Therefore, if we say that Δ_S is non-degenerate with respect to f, this means that $r_S > 0$ in Proposition 7.3 for one and hence any even ample line bundle L.
(2) Let $f^{\mathrm{an}} : (X')^{\mathrm{an}} \to X_v^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the morphism of analytic spaces associated to f. If Δ_S is non-degenerate with respect to f, then f^{an} restricts to an homeomorphism from Δ_S to $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$. In fact, this property is a part of the definition of non-degeneracy due to Gubler.

Let $\mathrm{str}_{f-\mathrm{nd}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})$ be the set of strata of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'}$ that are non-degenerate with respect to f. It is know that the projection formula holds for Chambert-Loir measures (cf. [17, Proposition 3.8]). This gives us

$$\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}, L} = f_* \left(\frac{1}{\deg_{f^*(L)}(X')} c_1(f^*(\overline{L_v}))^{\wedge d} \right). \tag{7.4.9}$$

By Proposition 7.3, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}},L}) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathrm{str}_{f-\mathrm{nd}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})} f(\Delta_S). \tag{7.4.10}$$

Noting Remark 7.4 (1), we see that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}},L})$ does not depend on the choice of L. We set $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}} := \mathrm{Supp}(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}},L})$ and call it the *canonical subset of* X_v^{an}.

Gubler proved that $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ has a canonical piecewise rational affine structure such that for any semistable alteration $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ (cf. Remark 7.2) and for any non-degenerate stratum S with respect to f, the homeomorphism $\Delta_S \to f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$ (cf. Remark 7.4 (2)) given by f^{an} is a rational piecewise linear map (cf. [17, Theorem 6.12]). By Proposition 7.3 and (7.4.9), we see that $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}},L}$ is the linear combination of relative Lebesgue measures on polytopes on $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ with that piecewise linear structure of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$.

Remark 7.5. If Δ_S is non-degenerate with respect to f, then $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$ is regarded as a polytopal set of pure dimension $\dim(\Delta_S)$.

8. Reduction to the nowhere degenerate case

In Theorem 3.7, the nontrivial part is to show that (b) implies (a), that is: let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A; then if the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}$, then it holds for A. This assertion is obtained by showing the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{m}$ be a surjective homomorphism, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points and that $\phi(X)$ is a special subvariety. Then X is a special subvariety.*

Let us explain how Theorem 3.7 follows from Theorem 8.1. As is noted before, we only have to show that if the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}$, then it holds for A. First we note that there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{m}$. Indeed, by the Poincaré complete reducibility theorem, there exists an abelian subvariety G of A such that $\mathfrak{m} + G = A$ and $\mathfrak{m} \cap G$ is finite. Since the natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{m} \times G \to A$ is an isogeny, there exists an isogeny $A \to \mathfrak{m} \times G$ (cf. [28, p. 157]). This homomorphism composed with the natural projection to $\mathfrak{m}$ gives a desired ϕ. Now, suppose that X has dense small points. Then $\phi(X)$ also has dense small points by Lemma 2.15. Assume that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}$. It follows that $\phi(X)$ is a special subvariety. By Theorem 8.1, we conclude that X is a special subvariety.

We do not give a complete proof of Theorem 8.1, but we give the idea of the proof of the following weaker version of the theorem. Here we call $\dim(\mathfrak{m})$ the nowhere degeneracy rank of A and denote it by $\text{nd-rk}(A)$.

Proposition 8.2. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Assume that* $\text{nd-rk}(A) = 0$. *Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a torsion subvariety.*

8.1. **Strict supports.** In our proof of Proposition 8.2, we apply the method of Zhang. We hope to get a contradiction from the equality (6.6.8). To do that, we define the notion of strict supports of measures and investigate the structure of the strict supports.

Since the canonical subset $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ has a piecewise affine structure, as we noted in § 7.2, we have a notion of polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. We say that a polytopal decomposition Σ of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is *f-subdivisional* if

$$f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \cap \Sigma := \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a polytopal decomposition of $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$. Note that for any rational polytopal decomposition Σ_0 of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$, there exists a rational subdivision Σ of Σ_0 that is f-subdivisional.

Proposition 8.3. *Let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Let Σ be an f-subdivisional rational polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Then*

$$\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}},L} = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} r'_\sigma \delta_\sigma$$

for some non-negative real numbers r'_σ, where δ_σ is the relative Lebesgue measure on σ.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 7.3, (7.4.9), and the fact that $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S} : \Delta_S \to f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$ is a piecewise linear map for Δ_S non-degenerate with respect to f. □

Remark 8.4. It follows from equality (7.4.9) and Remark 7.4 (1) that $r'_\sigma > 0$ if and only if there exists a non-degenerate stratum S of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'}$ such that $\sigma \subset \Delta_S$ and $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\Delta_S)$.

Let σ be a polytope in the canonical subset $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ of X_v^{an}. Let $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be the canonical measure on X_v^{an} associated to an even ample line bundle on A. We say that σ is a *strict support of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$* if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}} - \epsilon\delta_\sigma$ is a positive measure, where δ_σ is a relative Lebesgue measure on σ. By the last assertion of Proposition 7.3, this notion does not depend on the choice of the even ample line bundle on A and well defined for X.

Let $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ be a semistable alteration (cf. Remark 7.2). Let Σ be an f-subdivisional polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. We write by Proposition 8.3

$$\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}} = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} r'_\sigma \delta_\sigma$$

with $r'_\sigma \geq 0$. Then $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is a strict support of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ if and only if $r'_\sigma > 0$.

We would like to see how $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S} : \Delta_S \to X^{\mathrm{an}}$ behaves when $\Delta_S \subset S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. If Δ_S is nondegenerate with respect to f, then the map $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S} : \Delta_S \to S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is an isomorphism onto its image, which follows from the definition of the piecewise affine structure for $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. On the other hand, if Δ_S is degenerate (i.e. not non-degenerate), then the behavior of $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S}$ may be complicated. However, we can describe it over $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ in the following sense.

Lemma 8.5. *Take an $S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})$. Suppose that $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Then we have the following.*

(1) *The map $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S} : \Delta_S \to S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is a piecewise linear map. Furthermore, there exists a polytope $P \subset \Delta_S$ with $\dim(P) = \dim(\Delta_S)$ such that $f^{\mathrm{an}}(P)$ is a polytope and $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_P : P \to f^{\mathrm{an}}(P)$ is an affine map for some rational polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$.*

(2) *There exists a non-negative integer r with the following property. Let P be a polytope in Δ_S such that $\dim(P) = \dim(\Delta_S)$ and such that $f^{\mathrm{an}}|_P : P \to S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is an affine map for some rational polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Then $\mathrm{rk}(f^{\mathrm{an}}|_P) = r$.*

Proof. It is know that there exists a continuous map $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ where Λ is a complete lattice of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$. Remark that $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ has a natural piecewise affine structure induced from $\mathbb{R}^n$. The map $\overline{\mathrm{val}}$ has the following properties:

(a) The restriction $\overline{\mathrm{val}}|_{S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}} : S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite piecewise affine map;

(b) For any $S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})$, the map $\overline{\mathrm{val}} \circ f^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S} : \Delta_S \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ is an affine map.

We refer to [17, § 4].

By (a) above, $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$ is a finite union of polytope of dimension r if and only if so is $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$, and in fact, this is a polytope by (b). Thus we have the first assertion of (1). The second assertion is obvious.

To show (2), put $r := \dim((\overline{\mathrm{val}} \circ f^{\mathrm{an}})(\Delta_S))$ by (b). Then by (a), $\dim(f^{\mathrm{an}}(P)) = r$. This shows the assertion. □

Suppose that $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Let r be the integer as in the above lemma. Then $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$ is a finite union of r-dimensional polytopes. Thus $\dim(f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$ makes sense, i.e., $\dim(f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)) = r$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Gubler's description of canonical measures.

Lemma 8.6. *Let $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ be a semistable alteration (cf. Remark 7.2). Let Σ be an f-subdivisional polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be a strict support of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Then there exists a stratum $S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'})$ with the following properties:*

(a) $\sigma \subset f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$;
(b) $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$;
(c) $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\Delta_S)$.

Proof. By Remark 8.4, there exists a non-degenerate stratum $S \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}'}$ such that $\sigma \subset f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$ and $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\Delta_S)$. By (7.4.10), we also have $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. □

Remark that if σ and Δ_S satisfy the three conditions in Lemma 8.6, then $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$. Take a $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We say tentatively that Δ_S *is over* σ if Δ_S satisfies conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 8.6 as well as $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$. With this word, Lemma 8.6 means that if σ is a strict support, then there exists Δ_S over σ such that $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\Delta_S)$(, which is condition (c)).

To the contrary, the following proposition shows that if σ is a strict support, then any canonical simplex Δ_S over σ should satisfy $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\Delta_S)$.

Proposition 8.7. *Let $(\mathscr{X}', f : X' \to X_v)$ be a semistable alteration (cf. Remark 7.2). Let Σ be a f-subdivisional polytopal decomposition of $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be a strict support of the canonical measure $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ of an even ample line bundle on A. Let S be a stratum of $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}'}$ with the following properties:*

(a) $\sigma \subset f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$;
(b) $f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$;
(c) $\dim(\sigma) = \dim f^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$.

Then $\dim(\sigma) = \dim(\Delta_S)$.

The above proposition is essentially [40, Proposition 5.12, Lemma 5.13]. It is not an easy assertion at all. We need detailed analysis on the non-degenerate strata by using Mumford models, subdivision of polytopes, toric method, etc. We omit the proof and refer to [40].

8.2. **Proof of Proposition 8.2.** In this subsection, we give an outline of the proof of Proposition 8.2. Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$, where K is a function field. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A. Set $\text{nd-rk}(A) := \dim(\mathfrak{m})$, which is called the nowhere degeneracy rank of A.

We remark basic properties of the nowhere degeneracy rank.

Lemma 8.8 (cf. Corollary 7.12 in [40])**.** *Let $\phi : A \to A'$ be a surjective homomorphism of abelian varieties. Then* $\text{nd-rk}(A) \geq \text{nd-rk}(A')$.

Lemma 8.9 (cf. Proposition 7.13 in [40])**.** *Let $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ be abelian varieties over $\overline{K}$. Then* $\text{nd-rk}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_m) = \text{nd-rk}(A_1) + \cdots + \text{nd-rk}(A_m)$.

In Proposition 8.2, we assume that $\text{nd-rk}(A) = 0$. The following lemma shows that under this assumption, the support of the canonical measure has positive dimension. It is essentially [40, Proposition 7.16].

Lemma 8.10. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Assume that* $\text{nd-rk}(A) = 0$. *Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Suppose that* $\dim(X) > 0$ *and that X has trivial stabilizer. Then there exists a place v of $\overline{K}$ such that A is degenerate at v and such that* $\dim(S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) > 0$, *i.e., $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ has a positive dimensional polytope.*

Proof. Recall that for any $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$, the valuation map $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A_v \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ exists, which is also used in the proof of Lemma 8.5. Recall also the fact that this restricts to a finite surjective map $\overline{\mathrm{val}}|_{S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}} : S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \overline{\mathrm{val}(X_v)}$, which is a part of [17, Theorem 1.1]. By the implication from (b) to (a) in [40, Proposition 7.16], we see that if $\dim(X) > 0$, then $\dim(\overline{\mathrm{val}(X_v)}) > 0$ for some $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$. Thus $\dim(S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) > 0$ for some $v \in M_{\overline{K}}$. □

Let us start the proof of Proposition 8.2. We argue by contradiction; suppose that there exist an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ of non-degeneracy rank 0 and a closed subvariety that is not a torsion subvariety but has dense small points. We take the quotient of the abelian variety by the stabilizer of the closed subvariety. Taking into account Lemma 8.8, we then construct an abelian A with $\text{nd-rk}(A) = 0$ and a closed subvariety X that is non-torsion, has trivial stabilizer, has dense small points, and has positive dimension. For large natural number N, the difference morphism $\alpha_N : X^N \to A^{N-1}$ given $\alpha_N(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (x_1 - x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1} - x_N)$ is generically finite (cf. Lemma 4.6). Put $Z := X^N$ and$Y := \alpha_N(Z)$, and let $\alpha : Z \to Y$ be the morphism induced from α_N. Note that α is a generically finite surjective morphism.

Let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Then $L^{\boxtimes N}$ is an even ample line bundle on A^N. Let v be a place at which A is degenerate. By Lemma 8.10, we take v in such a way that $S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ has positive dimension. Let $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be the canonical measure on X_v^{an} of L. Let $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be the canonical measure on Z_v^{an} of $L^{\boxtimes N}$ and let $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ be the canonical measures on Y_v^{an} of an even ample line bundle on A^{N-1}. Then the argument using the equidistribution theorem as in the proof of Zhang and the proof of Gubler gives us

$$\alpha_*^{\mathrm{an}}(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}.$$

Note in particular that $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$.

We can take Mumford models of $(A_v^N)^{\mathrm{an}}$ and $(A_v^{N-1})^{\mathrm{an}}$ respectively such that the morphism α^{an} extends to a morphism ψ between the Mumford models. We take a semistable alteration $(\mathscr{Z}', h : Z' \to Z_v)$ compatible with this Mumford model of $(A_v^N)^{\mathrm{an}}$ (cf. Lemma 7.1). Since $\alpha : Z \to Y$ is a generically finite proper surjective morphism, setting $g := \alpha \circ h$, we see that $(\mathscr{Z}', g : Z' \to Y_v)$ is also a semistable alteration compatible with a Mumford model.

Note that the restricted morphism $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}} : S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}} \to S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is a piecewise linear map. Indeed, let Δ_S be any non-degenerate stratum with respect to h. It suffices to show that α^{an} is piecewise linear on $h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$. By the definition of the piecewise linear structure on $S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$, this is equivalent to that $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}} \circ h^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\Delta_S} : \Delta_S \to S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ is piecewise linear. Since $(\mathscr{Z}', g : Z' \to Y_v)$ is a semistable alteration compatible with a Mumford model and $g^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset \alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$, this follows from Lemma 8.5 (1).

Let $|X_v^{\mathrm{an}}|^N$ denote the direct product of N-copies of X_v^{an} in the category of topological spaces. Since Z_v^{an} is the direct product of N-copies of X_v^{an} in the category of Berkovich spaces, we have a natural continuous map $\beta : Z_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to |X_v^{\mathrm{an}}|^N$. By [39, Proposition 4.5], we have $\beta_*(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^N$, the product measure of N-copies of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Further, we have $\beta(S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^N$.

We would like to take a strict support τ of $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ such that $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) < \dim(\tau)$. Here, this is not precise, but we pretend as if the above β is an isomorphism, that is, $S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}} = S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^N$ and $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}} = \mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^N$, to explain the idea; see § 8.3 below for more precise argument. Since $\dim(S_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) > 0$, there exists a strict support σ of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ with $\dim(\sigma) > 0$. Then σ^N is a strict support of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^N$ with $\dim(\sigma^N) > 0$. Since α contracts the diagonal of $Z = X^N$ to a point, the diagonal of σ^N contracts to a point Furthermore, since $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}|_{\sigma^N}$ is piecewise linear, we can therefore take a polytope τ such that $\tau \subset \sigma^N$, $\dim(\tau) = \dim(\sigma^N)$, $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}|_\tau$ is linear, and such that $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)$ is a polytope with $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) < \dim(\tau)$. By the choice of τ, this is a strict support of $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$.

By Lemma 8.6, there exists an $S \in \mathrm{str}(\widetilde{\mathscr{Z}'})$ such that $\tau \subset h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$, $h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and such that $\dim(\tau) = \dim(\Delta_S)$. Since $\dim(\tau) \leq \dim(h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)) \leq \dim(\Delta_S) = \dim(\tau)$, we note $\dim(\tau) = \dim(h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$.

Recall that $(\mathscr{Z}', g : Z' \to Y_v)$ is also a semistable alteration for Y_v compatible with a Mumford model. Since τ is a strict support of $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and $\alpha_*^{\mathrm{an}}(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$, $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)$ is a strict support of $\mu_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Since $\tau \subset h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)$, we have

$$\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau) \subset \alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)) = g^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S).$$

Furthermore, we claim $g^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) \subset S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ and $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) = \dim(g^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$. Indeed, we have $g^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S) = \alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S)) \subset \alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. To show the equality on the dimensions, we take by Lemma 8.5 (1) a polytope $P \subset \Delta_S$ such that $\dim(P) = \dim(\Delta_S)$, $h^{\mathrm{an}}(P) \subset \tau$, and such that $h^{\mathrm{an}}|_P$ is an affine map. By Lemma 8.5 (2), we note $\dim(h^{\mathrm{an}}(P)) = \dim(h^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$, which equals $\dim(\tau)$ as we noted above. Since $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}|_\tau$ is affine, it follows that

$$\dim(g^{\mathrm{an}}(P)) = \dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(h^{\mathrm{an}}(P))) = \dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)).$$

By Lemma 8.5 (2), that means $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) = \dim(g^{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_S))$.

Now, applying Proposition 8.7 to $\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)$ in place of σ, we obtain $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) = \dim(\Delta_S)$. On the other hand, we have obtained $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) < \dim(\tau)$ and $\dim(\tau) = \dim(\Delta_S)$. It

follows that $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) < \dim(\Delta_S)$, which is a contradiction. Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 8.2.

8.3. **Complement.** In the above proof, we took a strict support τ of $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ such that $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) < \dim(\tau)$. In the argument there, we pretended as if we had $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}} = \mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}^N$, which was not precise. Here, we give a precise argument to obtain τ above. We use the valuation map $\overline{\mathrm{val}} : A_v^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$, where n is the dimension of the torus part of A_v^{an}. Since the valuation map is compatible with direct product, the map $\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N : (A_v^N)^{\mathrm{an}} \to (\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda)^N$ and $\overline{\mathrm{val}}^{N-1} : (A_v^{N-1})^{\mathrm{an}} \to (\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda)^{N-1}$ are the valuation maps. By [39, Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.5], we have $(\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N)_*(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}) = \overline{\mathrm{val}}_*(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}})^N$.

Let σ be a positive dimensional strict support of $\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{val}}(\sigma)$ is a strict support of $\overline{\mathrm{val}}_*(\mu_{X_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$ of positive dimension. It follows that $(\overline{\mathrm{val}}(\sigma))^N$ is a strict support of $(\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N)_*(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$ positive dimension. On the other hand, since the difference map induces the difference map from $(\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda)^N$ to $(\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda)^{N-1}$, we see that $\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ contracts the diagonal of $(\overline{\mathrm{val}}(\sigma))^N$ to a point. Since $\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ is a piecewise linear, it follows that there exists a polytope $\tau' \subset (\overline{\mathrm{val}}(\sigma))^N$ such that $\dim(\tau') = \dim((\overline{\mathrm{val}}(\sigma))^N)$ and $\dim(\overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\tau')) < \dim(\tau')$. Since $(\overline{\mathrm{val}}(\sigma))^N$ is a strict support of $(\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N)_*(\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$, so is τ'. Since the restriction $S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}} \to \overline{\mathrm{val}}^N(S_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}})$ of $\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N$ is a finite surjective piecewise linear map, there exists a strict support τ of $\mu_{Z_v^{\mathrm{an}}}$ such that $\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N(\tau) \subset \tau'$ and $\dim(\tau) = \dim(\tau')$. Noting that $\overline{\mathrm{val}}^{N-1} \circ \alpha^{\mathrm{an}} = \overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}} \circ \overline{\mathrm{val}}^N$, we see that

$$\overline{\mathrm{val}}^{N-1} \circ \alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau) = \overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\overline{\mathrm{val}}^N(\tau)) \subset \overline{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\tau') < \dim(\tau') = \dim(\tau).$$

Again since $\overline{\mathrm{val}}^{N-1}|_{S_{Y_v^{\mathrm{an}}}}$ is a finite piecewise linear map, that shows $\dim(\alpha^{\mathrm{an}}(\tau)) < \dim(\tau)$. Thus we have a required τ.

Remark 8.11. (This is a remark for the proof of [40, Theorem 6.2].) In the proof of Proposition 8.2, we take an even ample line bundle L on A and consider the even ample line bundle $L^{\boxtimes N}$ on A^N. However, we can argue with any even ample line bundle on A^N. (In the proof of [40, Theorem 6.2], we actually did so.) Indeed, in the argument we assume that Z has dense small points, so that by the equidistribution theorem (Theorem 6.6), the canonical measure does not depend on the choice of the even ample line bundle on A^N. (But in fact, we should also begin with $L^{\boxtimes N}$ in the proof of [40, Theorem 6.2] for the sake of logical simpleness.)

9. Canonical height of closed subvarieties

9.1. **Height of a closed subvariety.** Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be an even ample line bundle on A. Let Z be a cycle on A of dimension d. Then the canonical height of Z with respect to L is defined. We briefly explain what it is when K is a function field of $\mathfrak{B}$ with $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$. The construction is similar to the construction of the canonical metric; we construct a global version of a sequence of models on (5.5.7). Fix an integer m with $m > 1$ and fix a rigidification of L. Let K' be a finite extension of K over which Z can be defined. Let $\mathfrak{B}'$ be the normalization of $\mathfrak{B}$ in K'. We begin with any model $(\pi_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathfrak{B}', \mathcal{L}_1)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_1$ is nef. There exists such an $\mathcal{L}_1$ because L is ample. Next we take a model $\pi_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathfrak{B}'$ of A such that the morphism $[m] : A \to A$ extends to

$f_1 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_1$. Set $\mathcal{L}_2 := f_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1)$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$ is a model of $(A, L^{\otimes m^2})$ via the isomorphism $[m]^*(L) \cong L^{\otimes m^2}$ that respects the rigidification. Again, we take a model $\pi_3 : \mathcal{A}_3 \to \mathfrak{B}'$ of A such that the morphism $[m] : A \to A$ extends to $f_2 : \mathcal{A}_3 \to \mathcal{A}_2$, and set $\mathcal{L}_3 := f_2^*(\mathcal{L}_2)$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{L}_3)$ is a model of $(A.L^{\otimes m^4})$. Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence $(\pi_n : \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathfrak{B}', \mathcal{L}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ whose nth term is a model of $(A, L^{\otimes m^{2(n-1)}})$ with $\mathcal{L}_n$ is nef on $\mathcal{A}_n$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_n$ be the closure of Z in $\mathcal{A}$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}_n$ is a model of Z. We consider the sequence

$$\left(\frac{\deg(c_1(\mathcal{L}_n)^{\cdot(d+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{Z}_n])}{m^{2(n-1)(d+1)}[K' : K]} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{9.0.11}$$

of rational numbers. Then one shows that this sequence converges to a real number and that this limit depends only on (Z, L). This number is the *canonical height* of Z with respect to L, denoted by $\widehat{h}_L(Z)$.

Remark 9.1. In the above construction, each model $\mathcal{L}_n$ is nef on $\mathcal{A}_n$, and hence the sequence on (9.0.11) is nonnegative. It follows that $\widehat{h}_L(X) \geq 0$. This inequality holds for any dimensional $\mathfrak{B}$, in fact.

One finds in the following proposition an importance of the canonical height in view of the density of small points.

Proposition 9.2 (Corollary 4.4 of [15])**.** *Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Then X has dense small points if and only if $\widehat{h}_L(X) = 0$.*

Remark 9.3. Since A has dense small points, we have $\widehat{h}_L(A) = 0$ by the above proposition.

9.2. **Zhang's admissible pairing and the canonical height.** We mentioned in § 1.2.4 and § 1.2.8 that some partial answers of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves have been obtained before, and those results are obtained by showing the positivity of the admissible pairing $(\omega_a, \omega_a)_a$ of the admissible dualizing sheaf ω_a of C. This argument using the positivity of the admissible pairing concerns Proposition 9.2. Here, we explain why $(\omega_a, \omega_a)_a > 0$ deduces the conjecture for the curve.

Let us recall the setting of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves. Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\overline{K}$ and let J_C be the Jacobian variety of C. Fix a divisor D on C of degree 1 and let $\jmath_D : C \hookrightarrow J_C$ be the embedding defined by $\jmath_D(x) := x - D$. Put $X := \jmath_D(C)$. Assume that C cannot be defined over k. What we should show is that X does not have dense small points.

Recall that h_{NT} equals the canonical height $\widehat{h}_L$ associated to $L = \mathcal{O}_{J_C}(\theta)$, where θ is a symmetric theta divisor (cf. Remark 2.10). In [44], it is shown that $\widehat{h}_L(X) \geq \alpha(\omega_a, \omega_a)_a$ for some $\alpha > 0$, and the equality holds if $(2g-2)D_1$ is a canonical divisor on C. It follows that if one shows $(\omega_a, \omega_a)_a > 0$, then $\widehat{h}_L(X) > 0$, so that by Proposition 9.2, X does not have dense small points. That is the reason why $(\omega_a, \omega_a)_a > 0$ suffices for the Bogomolov conjecture for curves.

10. Proof of Theorem 3.10

In this section, we describe the idea of the proof of Theorem 3.10.

10.1. **Model for a nowhere degenerate abelian variety.** For a nowhere degenerate abelian variety, we have a good model as follows.

Proposition 10.1 (Proposition 2.5 of [41])**.** *Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be a line bundle on A. Then, there exist a finite extension K' of K, a proper morphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}'$, where $\mathfrak{B}'$ is the normalization of $\mathfrak{B}$ in K', and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following conditions.*

(a) *The pair $(\pi, \mathcal{L})$ is a model of (A, L).*
(b) *There exists an open subset $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{B}'$ with $\operatorname{codim}(\mathfrak{B}' \setminus \mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{B}') \geq 2$ such that the restriction $\pi' : \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}) \to \mathfrak{U}$ of π is an abelian scheme.*
(c) *Let $0_{\pi'}$ be the zero-section of the abelian scheme π' in (b). Then $0_{\pi'}^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$.*

Remark 10.2. Consider the case where $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$. Then Proposition 10.1 claims the following. Let A and L be as in this proposition. Then, there exist a finite extension K' of K and a model $(\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}', \mathcal{L})$ of (A, L), where $\mathfrak{B}'$ is the normalization of $\mathfrak{B}$ in K', satisfying the following conditions.

(a) The morphism π is an abelian scheme.
(b) Let 0_π be the zero-section of the abelian scheme π. Then $0_\pi^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}'}$.

Assume that A is nowhere degenerate. The next lemma shows that the canonical height $\widehat{h}_L(X)$ is given by intersection on a suitable model.

Lemma 10.3. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let L be an even ample line bundle. Let X be a closed subvariety. Assume that A is nowhere degenerate. Let $(\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}', \mathcal{L})$ be a model of (A, L) as in Proposition 10.1 and let K' denote the function field of $\mathfrak{B}'$. Assume that X can be defined over K' and let $\mathcal{X}$ be the closure of X in $\mathcal{A}$. Then we have*

$$\widehat{h}_L(X) = \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot(d+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{X}])}{[K' : K]},$$

where $\mathcal{H}'$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathfrak{B}'$.

Let us explain how Lemma 10.3 verified when $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$. Let A, L, and X be as in Lemma 10.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ be a model of (A, L) as in Proposition 10.1; see also Remark 10.2. Recall that in the limiting precess to define the canonical height of subvarieties, we consider the sequence (9.0.11). Here, we begin with $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{L}_1) := (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$. Then we see from the condition of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ that $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$ coincides with $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes m^2})$. Repeatedly, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{L}_n)$ coincides with $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes m^2(n-1)})$. This suggests that in this case the sequence (9.0.11) is constant. Thus Lemma 10.3 holds.

Remark 10.4. Under the setting of Remark 10.2, if X can be defined over K', then we have

$$\widehat{h}_L(X) = \frac{\deg(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot(d+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{X}])}{[K' : K]}$$

by Lemma 10.3, where $d := \dim(X)$.

10.2. **Idea of the proof of Theorem 3.10.** We describe the idea of the proof of the assertion that (c) implies (b) in Theorem 3.10. It suffices the following. Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the image of the $\overline{K}/k$-trace homomorphism. Suppose that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $A/\mathfrak{t}$. Then it holds for A.

10.2.1. *Case of the constant abelian varieties.* First, we prove the above assertion under the assumption that the abelian variety is a constant abelian variety: Let B be a constant abelian variety. Let Y be a closed subvariety of B. Suppose that Y has dense small points. Then we want to show that Y is a constant subvariety. Remark that since B is a constant abelian variety, any torsion subvariety is a constant abelian variety (cf. [41, Proposition 3.7]).

By definition, we write $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ with some abelian variety $\widetilde{B}$ over k. Let $\widetilde{M}$ be an even ample line bundle on $\widetilde{B}$. Set $M := \widetilde{M} \otimes_k \overline{K}$, which is an even ample line bundle on B. We consider the standard model $(\pi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{M})$ of (B, M) (cf. Example 2.11). Then $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 10.1. Since Y has dense small points, Proposition 9.2 tells us that $\widehat{h}_M(Y) = 0$. By Lemma 10.3, it follows that $\deg_{\mathcal{H}} \pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{M})^{\cdot(d+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{Y}]) = 0$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the closure of Y in $\mathcal{B}$ and $d := \dim(Y)$. Using this, we can show that Y is a constant subvariety without difficulties; see [41, § 3] for the detail.

10.2.2. *Reduction to the case of the product of a constant abelian variety and a nowhere degenerate abelian variety with trivial trace.* In this subsection, we remark that we may and do assume that A is the direct product of a constant abelian variety and a nowhere degenerate abelian variety with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace.

We begin by recalling the following basic fact.

Lemma 10.5 (cf. Corollary 6.7 of [40])**.** *Let $\psi : A \to B$ be an isogeny of abelian varieties over $\overline{K}$. Then the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A if and only if it holds for B.*

Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Then it is isogenous to the direct product of a constant abelian variety and a $\overline{K}/k$-trace. Indeed, the Poincaré complete reducibility theorem, there exists a closed subvariety C of A such that the natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{t} \times C \to A$ is an isogeny. Remark that C is isogenous to $A/\mathfrak{t}$. Furthermore, since the $\overline{K}/k$-trace homomorphism is finite, we see that A is isogenous to $\widetilde{A^{\overline{K}/k}} \times A/\mathfrak{t}$. Since $A/\mathfrak{t}$ has trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace (cf. [41, Remark 5.4]), it follows that A is isogenous to the product of a constant abelian variety and a nowhere degenerate abelian variety with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace.

Thus by Lemma 10.5, our goal is the following assertion. Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace and let $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ be a constant abelian variety. Let X be a closed subvariety of $B \times A$. Assume that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then there exist a constant abelian variety Y of B and a torsion subvariety T of A such that $X = Y \times T$.

Let $\mathrm{pr}_B : B \times A \to B$ be the projection and set $Y := \mathrm{pr}_B(X)$. Since X has dense small points, so does Y by Lemma 2.15. By § 10.2.1, there exists a closed subvariety $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $Y = \widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \overline{K}$. Thus we are reduced to show the following proposition.

Proposition 10.6. *Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace. Let $Y = \widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ be a closed constant subvariety of a constant abelian variety $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$. Let $p : Y \times A \to Y$ be the projection. Let X be a closed subvariety of $Y \times A$ with $p(X) = Y$. Assume that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then there exists a torsion subvariety T of A such that $X = Y \times T$.*

10.2.3. *Relative height.* We keep the notation in Proposition 10.6; A is a nowhere degenerate abelian variety, $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ is a constant abelian variety, X is a closed subvariety of $B \times A$,

$Y = \widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \overline{K}$ is a constant closed subvariety of B, and Y equals the image of X by the projection $B \times A \to B$.

In the proof of Proposition 10.6, the relative height plays important roles. Let L be an even ample line bundle on A. The relative height is a function $\mathbf{h}^L_{X/Y}$ that is define over a dense open subset of $Y(k)$ in the following way. (In fact, it is defined over a dense open subset of Y, but we omit that; see [41, § 4.2].) Take a point $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$. Then $\tilde{y}$ can be naturally regarded as a point of $Y(\overline{K})$, and let $\overline{\tilde{y}_K}$ denote the corresponding point in $Y(\overline{K})$. Let $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}} := (p|_X)^{-1}(\overline{\tilde{y}_K})$. This is a closed subscheme of an abelian variety $p^{-1}(\overline{\tilde{y}_K}) = A$. If $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$ is general, $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}$ is of pure dimension $d - e$, where $e := \dim(Y)$. Therefore, we consider the canonical height $\widehat{h}_L(X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}})$, and we set $\mathbf{h}^L_{X/Y}(\tilde{y}) := \widehat{h}_L(X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}})$.

Since the relative height at $\tilde{y}$ is given by the height of the fiber $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}$, we can describe it in terms of intersection product. We take K', $\mathfrak{B}'$ and a model $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ over $\mathfrak{B}'$ of (A, L) as in Proposition 10.1. Replacing K' by a finite extension if necessary, we may and do assume that X can be defined over K'. Let $\mathcal{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ be the standard model of B, that is, $\mathcal{B} := \widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}'$ and $\mathcal{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is the canonical projection (cf. Example 2.11). Then $\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A}$ is a model of $B \times A$ which satisfies the conditions in Proposition 10.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the closure of X in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A}$. Set $\mathcal{Y} := \widetilde{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}'$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is the model of Y and the canonical projection gives a surjective morphism $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Take a general $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$. Remark that $\overline{\tilde{y}_K}$ is the geometric generic point of $\{\tilde{y}\} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}'$. Then one sees that the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}} \subset \{\tilde{y}\} \times \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ of the composite $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \to \widetilde{Y}$ is a model of $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}} \subset \{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}\} \times A = A$. By Lemma 10.3, we can describe the height of $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}$ by using the intersection in terms of $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}}$; indeed,

$$\mathbf{h}_{X/Y}(\tilde{y}) = \widehat{h}_L(X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}) = \frac{\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* \left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}}] \right)}{[K' : K]}. \tag{10.6.11}$$

The relative height can be used via the following lemma.

Lemma 10.7 (cf. Proposition 4.6 of [41])**.** *Under the setting above, suppose that there exists a dense subset S of $\widetilde{Y}(k)$ that satisfies the following two conditions.*

(a) *For any $\tilde{y} \in S$, we have $\mathbf{h}^L_{X/Y}(\tilde{y}) = 0$.*
(b) *Any irreducible component of $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}$ with its induced reduced subscheme structure is a torsion subvariety of $\{\tilde{y}\} \times A = A$.*

Then there exists a torsion subvariety T of A such that $X = Y \times T$.

We omit the proof Lemma 10.7, and we refer to [41] for the detail. We just remark that this lemma is obtained by showing a kind of rigidity of torsion subvarieties in a nowhere degenerate abelian variety with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace.

10.2.4. *Proof of Proposition 10.6.* We give an outline of the proof of Proposition 10.6. First, we assume the following lemma, and then we prove Proposition 10.6.

Lemma 10.8 (cf. Proposition 5.1 of [41])**.** *Under the setting above, suppose that X has dense small points. Then there exists a dense subset S of $\widetilde{Y}(k)$ such that $\mathbf{h}^L_{X/Y}(\tilde{y}) = 0$ for any $\tilde{y} \in S$.*

We deduce Proposition 10.6 from the Lemmas 10.8 and 10.8. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then by Lemma 10.8, there exists a dense subset S of $\widetilde{Y}(k)$ such that $\mathbf{h}^L_{X/Y}(\tilde{y}) = 0$ for any $\tilde{y} \in S$. This means that for any irreducible component Z of $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}$, we have $\widehat{h}_L(Z) = 0$ (cf. Remark 9.1). It follows that Z has dense small points.

Now assume that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A. Since A has trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace, it follows that Z is a torsion subvariety. Thus we see that this S satisfies conditions (a) and (b) in Lemma 10.7. Therefore by this lemma, we conclude the existence of a torsion subvariety T as required.

Remark 10.9. We keep the setting of Proposition 10.6. In the above argument, we show that for general $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$, any irreducible component Z of the fiber $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}} \subset \{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}\} \times A = A$ has canonical height 0.

Finally, we give an idea of the proof of Lemma 10.8. For simplicity, we assume that $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$. The proof of Lemma 10.8 uses the expression of the canonical heights in terms of intersection. Recall that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ is a model over $\mathfrak{B}'$ of (A, L) as in Proposition 10.1. Let $\widetilde{M}$ be an even ample line bundle on $\widetilde{B}$ and set $M := \widetilde{M} \otimes_k \overline{K}$, which is an even ample line bundle on $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$. Let $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M})$ be the standard model over $\mathfrak{B}'$ of (B, M), that is, $\mathcal{B} := \widetilde{B} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}'$ and $\mathcal{M} := \widetilde{M} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}'}$ (cf. Example 2.11). Remark that $M \boxtimes L$ is an even ample line bundle on $B \times A$, and $(\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}', \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{L})$ is a model over $\mathfrak{B}'$ of $(B \times A, M \boxtimes L)$. Remark also that the closure $\mathcal{X}$ of X in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A}$ is a model of X. Further, $\widetilde{Y} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathfrak{B}'$ is a model of Y and equals the image of $\mathcal{X}$ by the projection $\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$.

Suppose that X has dense small points. Then by Proposition 9.2, we have $\widehat{h}_{M \boxtimes L}(X) = 0$. By Lemma 10.3, it follows that

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{L})^{\cdot(d+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{X}]) = 0. \tag{10.9.12}$$

Note that

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_*(c_1(\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot (c_1(\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}))^e \cdot [\mathcal{X}])) \tag{10.9.13}$$

is non-negative, where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ are the canonical projection. Indeed, we have

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_*(c_1(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{L})^{\cdot(d+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{X}]) = \sum_{e=0}^{d+1} \binom{d+1}{e} \deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_*(c_1(\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot (c_1(\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}))^e \cdot [\mathcal{X}])),$$

which equals 0 by (10.9.12). On the other hand, (10.9.13) equals, up to positive multiple, the canonical height of X with respect to $(d-e+1)$-copies of $\mathcal{O}_B \boxtimes L$ and e-copies of $M \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_A$ (cf. [16, Theorem 3.5 (d)]), and this canonical height is non-negative by ([13, Theorem 11.18 (e)]). Thus we conclude that

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_*(c_1(\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot (c_1(\mathrm{pr}^*_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{M}))^e \cdot [\mathcal{X}])) = 0.$$

Since $\widetilde{M}$ is ample, there exists a finite number of general points $\tilde{y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{y}_m \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$ such that

$$c_1(\widetilde{M})^e \cdot [\widetilde{Y}] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} [\tilde{y}_i]$$

as cycles classes. We have the composite morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \times_{\mathfrak{B}'} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to \widetilde{B}$. This morphism gives us $\varphi|_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$ by restriction. We note $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\mathcal{M}) = \varphi^*(\widetilde{M})$. Put $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}_i} := (\varphi|_{\mathcal{X}})^{-1}(\tilde{y}_i) = \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{y}_i) \cap \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\mathcal{M}))^e \cdot [\mathcal{X}] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} [\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}_i}].$$

Thus we have

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* \left(c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} [\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}_i}] \right) = 0.$$

Since

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* (c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot [(\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}_i}]) \geq 0$$

by the same reason as in the previous paragraph, it follows that

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* (c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot [(\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}_i}]) = 0$$

for any $i = 1, \ldots, m$. From that, we deduce that for a general $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$,

$$\deg_{\mathcal{H}'} \pi_* (c_1(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathcal{L}))^{\cdot(d-e+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{y}}]) = 0.$$

Finally, using (10.6.11), we obtain $\mathbf{h}^L_{X/Y}(\tilde{y}) = 0$ for a general $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{Y}(k)$.

11. Proof of the conjecture for curves

In this section, we give an idea of the proof of the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves. We also give a remark on the conjecture for abelian varieties.

11.1. **For curves and for divisors.** As we noted before, we actually prove in [42] the following more general result.

Theorem 11.1 (Theorem 1.3 of [42])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Assume that $\dim(X) = 1$. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a special subvariety.*

It should be remarked that the above theorem follows from the following.

Theorem 11.2 (Theorem 1.4 of [42])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Assume that $\mathrm{codim}(X, A) = 1$. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a special subvariety.*

The principle to connect Theorem 11.1 to Theorem 11.2 is as follows: If we take the sum of some copies of a curve in an abelian variety, then it will be a divisor of an abelian variety. Let us describe the idea a little more precisely. Some argument using Theorem 3.10 shows that we may assume that A has trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace to show Theorem 11.2. Now suppose that X is a curve in A with dense small points. Since the $\overline{K}/k$-trace of A is trivial, our goal is to show that X is the translate of an abelian subvariety of dimension 1 by a torsion point. Consider $X - \tau$ for any $\tau \in A(\overline{K})_{tor}$. We take a τ_1 in such a way that the dimension of the minimal abelian subvariety containing $X - \tau_1$ is minimal. Set $X_1 := X - \tau_1$ and let A_1 be the minimal abelian subvariety containing X_1. Note that X_1 has dense small points, for τ_1 is a torsion point. For a nonnegative integer l, we consider $Z_l := \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j X_1$, where $+$

means the addition of the abelian variety. Then Z is a closed subvariety of A_1, and since X_1 has dense small points, so does Z. Furthermore, one sees that $\mathrm{codim}(Z_l, A_1) = 1$ for some l. Thus we reach the setting of Theorem 11.2, and a little more argument leads to the conclusion. See [42, Proof of Theorem 5.11] for the detail.

11.2. **Application to the conjecture for abelian varieties.** Here are remarks on some contributions to the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties. Using Theorems 11.2 and 11.1, we obtain the following results on the conjecture for abelian varieties.

Theorem 11.3 (Corollary 6.4 of [42])**.** *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the image of the $\overline{K}/k$-homomorphism. Assume that $\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}) \leq 3$. Then the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A.*

Indeed, since $\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}) \leq 3$, it follows by Remark 3.5, Theorems 11.2 and 11.1 that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}$. By Theorem 3.10, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A.

This is not what we discuss in this paper, but we have shown in [43] that the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties of dimension 5 with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace (cf. [43, Theorem 1.3]), and thus we have the following.

Theorem 11.4 (Theorem 1.4 of [43])**.** *Let A, $\mathfrak{m}$, and $\mathfrak{t}$ be as in Theorem 11.3. Assume that $\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}) = 5$. Then the geometric Bogomolov conjecture holds for A.*

In conclusion, the following remains open in the geometric Bogomolov conjecture.

Conjecture 11.5. Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. Assume that $2 \leq \dim(X) \leq \dim(A) - 2$. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a torsion subvarieties.

It will be interesting if one obtain the result for such an abelian variety A as above with $\dim(A) = 4$ and X with $\dim(X) = 2$.

11.3. **Three steps of the proof.** By the argument in § 11.1, the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves are reduced to Theorem 11.2. The proof of this theorem consists of three steps, and each of them corresponds to [40], [41], and [42], respectively.

Step 1. We reduce the theorem to the case where the nowhere degenerate abelian varieties. That is done by using Theorem 8.1 and the proposition below.

Proposition 11.6. *Assume that A is nowhere degenerate. Let X be a closed subvariety of A of codimension 1. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a special subvariety.*

Indeed, we check that Theorem 11.2 will follow from Theorem 8.1 and Proposition 11.6. Let A be any abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal nowhere degenerate abelian subvariety of A. Recall that there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{m}$; see the argument just after Theorem 8.1. Let X be a closed subvariety of A of codimension 1 with dense small points. Put $Y := \phi(X)$. Then $Y = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\mathrm{codim}(Y, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$. If $Y = \mathfrak{m}$, then Y is special. If $\mathrm{codim}(Y, \mathfrak{m}) = 1$, then applying Proposition 11.6 to $\mathfrak{m}$ and Y (in place of A and X respectively), we find that Y is special. In any case, Theorem 8.1 then concludes that X is a special subvariety.

Step 2. By the argument in Step 1, we are reduced to show Proposition 11.6. In this step, we reduce Proposition 11.6 to the assertion for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace. As we noted in § 10.2.2, any nowhere degenerate abelian variety is isogenous to the product of a constant abelian variety and a nowhere degenerate abelian variety with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace. Therefore, by Lemma 10.5, it suffices to show the following:

Proposition 11.7. *Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace, B a constant abelian variety, and let $X \subset B \times A$ be a closed subvariety of codimension 1. Suppose that X has dense small points. Then X is a special subvariety.*

Proposition 11.7 follows from the proposition below.

Proposition 11.8. *Let A be an abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace and let X be a closed subvariety of A of codimension 1. Then X does not have dense small points.*

We see that Proposition 11.7 is deduced form Proposition 11.8. Indeed, let Y be the image of X by the projection $B \times A \to B$. Since X has dense small points, do does Y, and hence by the argument of § 10.2.1, Y is a constant subvariety of B.

We show that $\dim(Y) < \dim(B)$ by contradiction. Suppose that $\dim(Y) = \dim(B)$, i.e., $Y = B$. Write $B = \widetilde{B} \otimes_k \overline{K}$. As is noted in Remark 10.9, for a general $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{B}(k)$, any irreducible component Z of $X_{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}}$ has canonical height 0. Thus by Proposition 9.2, Z has dense small points. On the other hand, the dimension counting shows that Z is a divisor on $\{\overline{\tilde{y}_K}\} \times A = A$ for general $\tilde{y}$. That contradicts Proposition 11.8.

Thus we have $\dim(Y) < \dim(B)$. Then Y has codimension 1 in B, so that $X = Y \times A$. This is a special subvariety of $B \times A$.

11.3.1. *Step 3.* Now our goal is Proposition 11.8. We see that this follows from the following.

Proposition 11.9. *Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace and let X be an irreducible effective ample divisor on A of codimension 1. Set $D := X + [-1]^*(X)$ and $L := \mathcal{O}_A(D)$, where $+$ is the addition of divisors. Then L is an even ample line bundle on A, and we have $\widehat{h}_L(X) > 0$.*

Let us check that Proposition 11.8 can be deduced from Proposition 11.9. Let A be a nowhere degenerate abelian variety over $\overline{K}$ with trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace and let X be a closed subvariety of A of codimension 1. Note that any effective divisor is the pullback of an ample divisor by some homomorphism (cf. [28, p.88, Remarks on effective divisors by Nori]). This means that there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \to A'$ and an effective ample divisor X' on A' such that $X = \phi^{-1}(X')$. By Proposition 11.9 with Proposition 9.2, X' does not have dense small points. By Lemma 2.15, it follows that X does not have dense small points.

We explain the idea of the proof of Proposition 11.9. It is obvious that L in the proposition is even and ample. Now, to avoid technical difficulties, we make the following assumptions:

(a) $\dim(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$;
(b) there exists an abelian scheme $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ with zero-section 0_π and with geometric generic fiber A, and X is defined over K;
(c) $0 \notin X$;
(d) $\#k > \aleph_0$, i.e., k has uncountably infinite cardinality.

Indeed, it is not very difficult to see that we may assume (b), (c), and (d) without loss of generality.

Let us give a sketch of the proof of Proposition 11.9. (This is an argument in [42, § 1.3].) Since L is even, we have $\widehat{h}_L(D) = 2\widehat{h}_L(X)$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the closure of D in $\mathcal{A}$. Since $0 \notin D$, $0_\pi^*(\mathcal{D})$ is a well-defined effective divisor on $\mathfrak{B}$. Set $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(0_\pi^*(\mathcal{D})\right)$ and $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{D}\right) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes(-1)})$. Put $n := \dim(A)$. Then $0_\pi^*(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B}}$, and one sees that

$$\widehat{h}_L(D) = \deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot n} \cdot [\mathcal{D}]\right) = \deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot n} \cdot c_1\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\right) \cdot [\mathcal{A}]\right).$$

Since A has canonical height 0 (cf. Remark 9.3), we have $\deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot(n+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{A}]\right) = 0$ (cf. Remark 10.4). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot n} \cdot c_1\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{D}\right)\right) \cdot [\mathcal{A}]\right) &= \deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot(n+1)} \cdot [\mathcal{A}]\right) + \deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L})^{\cdot n} \cdot \pi^* c_1(\mathcal{N}) \cdot [\mathcal{A}]\right) \\ &= \deg_L(A) \cdot \deg(\mathcal{N}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\deg_L(A) > 0$ by the ampleness of L on A, it remains to show $\deg(\mathcal{N}) > 0$. In fact, we will see below that $\mathcal{N}$ is non-trivial. Since $0_\pi^*(\mathcal{D})$ is an effective divisor, we conclude $\deg(\mathcal{N}) > 0$.

The outline of the proof of the non-triviality of $\mathcal{N}$ is as follows. We prove the non-triviality by contradiction. Suppose that it is trivial. Then we can show that there exists a finite covering $\mathfrak{B}' \to \mathfrak{B}$ such that the complete linear system $|2\mathcal{D}'|$ on $\mathcal{A}'$ is base-point free (cf. [42, Proposition 4.4]), where $\pi' : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathfrak{B}'$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ are the base-change of π and $\mathcal{D}$ by this $\mathfrak{B}' \to \mathfrak{B}$, respectively. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A}' \to Z$ be the surjective morphism associated to this complete linear system, where Z is a closed subvariety of the dual space of $|2\mathcal{D}'|$. Remark that for any curve $\gamma \subset \mathcal{A}'$, $\deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L}') \cdot \gamma\right) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi(\gamma)$ is a point, where $\mathcal{L}'$ is the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$. Further, remark that for any $a \in A\left(\overline{K}\right)$, $\widehat{h}_L(a) = 0$ if and only if $\deg\left(c_1(\mathcal{L}') \cdot \Delta_a\right) = 0$, where Δ_a is the closure of a in $\mathcal{A}'$. Since $(\mathcal{L}')^{\otimes 2}$ is relatively ample with respect to f' by the ampleness of L, it follows that φ is finite on any fiber, and since the closure in $\mathcal{A}'$ of the set of the height 0 points of $A\left(\overline{K}\right)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}'$, we see that φ is not generically finite on $\mathcal{A}'$. Since $\#k > \aleph_0$, it follows that $\mathcal{A}'$ contains uncountably many irreducible curves which are flat over $\mathfrak{B}'$ and are contracted to a point by φ. This means that $A\left(\overline{K}\right)$ has uncountably many points of height 0. On the other hand, since A has trivial $\overline{K}/k$-trace, a point of $A\left(\overline{K}\right)$ has height 0 if and only if it is a torsion (cf. Proposition 2.13), and there are only countably many such points. This is a contradiction. Thus Proposition 11.9 is proved.

12. Manin–Mumford conjecture in positive characteristic

In this section, we give a remark on the relationship between the geometric Bogomolov conjecture and the Manin–Mumford conjecture in positive characteristic.

Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be an algebraically closed field. Let A be an abelian variety over $\mathfrak{K}$. Manin–Mumford conjecture, which is Raynaud's theorem, asserts that if $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}) = 0$, then any closed subvariety of A that has dense torsion points should be a torsion subvariety (cf. 1.2.3).

In the case of $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}) > 0$, on the other hand, the same assertion does not hold. If $\mathfrak{K}$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field and X is any closed subvariety of A, then any $\mathfrak{K}$-point of X is a torsion point, and thus X always has dense torsion points.

However, up to such influence that stems from finite fields, one may expect that a similar statement should also hold in positive characteristics. In fact, the following precise result is known.

Theorem 12.1. Assume that $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}) > 0$. Let k be the algebraic closure in $\mathfrak{K}$ of the prime field of $\mathfrak{K}$. Let A be an abelian variety over $\mathfrak{K}$. Let X be a closed subvariety of A. If X has dense torsion points, then there exist an abelian subvariety G of A, a closed subvariety $\widetilde{Y}$ of $\widetilde{A}^{\mathfrak{K}/k}$ and a torsion point $\tau \in A(\mathfrak{K})$ such that $X = G + \mathrm{Tr}_A^{\mathfrak{K}/k}\left(\widetilde{Y} \otimes_k \mathfrak{K}\right) + \tau$.

This theorem is due to the following authors: In 2001, Scanlon gave a sketch of the model-theoretic proof of this theorem ([33]). In 2004, Pink and Roessler gave an algebro-geometric proof ([30]). In 2005, Scanlon gave a detailed model-theoretic proof in [34] based on the argument in [33]. Note that in those papers, they prove a generalized version for semiabelian varieties A, in fact.

Here, we remark that Theorem 12.1 can be deduced from the geometric Bogomolov conjecture for A, as Moriwaki mentioned in [27] in the case of characteristic 0. Let A be an abelian variety over $\mathfrak{K}$ and let X be a closed subvariety of A. Then there exist $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that A and X can be defined over $K := k(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, that is, there exist an abelian variety A_0 over K and a closed subvariety X_0 of A_0 such that $A = A_0 \otimes_K \mathfrak{K}$ and $X = X_0 \otimes_K \mathfrak{K}$. Further, there exists a normal projective variety $\mathfrak{B}$ over k with function field K. Let $\overline{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K in $\mathfrak{K}$ and set $A_{\overline{K}} := A_0 \otimes_K \overline{K}$ and $X_{\overline{K}} := X_0 \otimes_K \overline{K}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an ample line bundle on $\mathfrak{B}$. Then we have a notion of height over K, and we can consider the canonical height on $A_{\overline{K}}$ associated to an even ample line bundle. Suppose that X has dense torsion points. Then $X_{\overline{K}}$ has dense torsion points and hence has dense small points. Then if we assume the geometric Bogomolov conjecture, it follows that $X_{\overline{K}}$ should be a special subvariety, and this should show the conclusion of Theorem 12.1.

Since the geometric Bogomolov conjecture is still open, the above argument does not give a new proof of Theorem 12.1. However, we can actually deduce the theorem in the following special cases:

(1) $\dim(X) = 1$ or $\mathrm{codim}(X, A) = 1$ (from Theorems 11.2 and 11.1);
(2) $\dim(A) \leq 3$ (as a consequence above).

In particular, we have recovered the positive characteristic version of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] Abbes, A.: Hauteurs et discrétude (dfaprès Szpiro, L., Ullmo, E., Zhang, S.). Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 1996/97. Astérisque, vol. 245, exp. no. 825, 4, pp. 141–166 (1997)

[2] V.G. Berkovich, Spectral theory and analytic geometry over nonarchimedean fields. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 33. Providence, RI: AMS (1990).

[3] V.G. Berkovich, Étale cohomology for non-archimedean analytic spaces. Publ. Math. IHES 78, 5–161 (1993).

[4] V.G. Berkovich, Vanishing cycles for formal schemes. Invent. Math. 115, No.3, 539–571 (1994).

[5] V.G. Berkovich, Smooth p-adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. Invent. Math. 137, No.1, 1–84 (1999).

[6] F. A. Bogomolov, Points of Finite Order on Abelian Varieties, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 44:4 (1980), 782–804, 973.

[7] E. Bombieri, W. Gubler, Heights in Diophantine geometry. New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 4. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)

[8] A. Chambert-Loir, Mesure et équidistribution sur les espaces de Berkovich, J. Reine Angew. Math. 595 (2006), 215–235.
[9] Z. Cinkir, Zhang's conjecture and the effective Bogomolov conjecture over function fields, Invent. Math. 183, No.3 (2011), 517–562.
[10] A.J. de Jong, Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 83 (1996), 51–93.
[11] X.W.C. Faber, The geometric Bogomolov conjecture for curves of small genus, Experiment. Math. 18 (2009), no. 3, 347–367.
[12] W. Gubler, Local heights of subvarieties over non-archimedean fields. J. Reine Angew. Math. 498, 61–113 (1998)
[13] W. Gubler, Local and canonical height of subvarieties, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. II (2003), 711–760.
[14] W. Gubler, Tropical varieties for non-archimedean analytic spaces, Invent. Math. 169 (2007), 321–376.
[15] W. Gubler, The Bogomolov conjecture for totally degenerate abelian varieties, Invent. Math. 169 (2007), 377–400.
[16] W. Gubler, Equidistribution over function fields, manuscripta math. 127 (2008), 485–510.
[17] W. Gubler, Non-archimedean canonical measures on abelian varieties, Compos. Math. 146 (2010), 683–730.
[18] S. Kawaguchi, A. Moriwaki, K. Yamaki, Introduction to Arakelov geometry. Algebraic geometry in East Asia (Kyoto, 2001), 1–74, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
[19] S. Lang, Division points on curves, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 70 (1965), 229–234.
[20] S. Lang, Abelian varieties, Springer-Verlag (1983).
[21] S. Lang, Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry, Springer-Verlag (1983).
[22] L. Moret-Bailly, Métriques permises, Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques: La Conjecture de Mordell, Astérisque 127 (1985), 29-87.
[23] A. Moriwaki, Bogomolov conjecture for curves of genus 2 over function fields, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 36 (1996), 687–695.
[24] A. Moriwaki, Bogomolov conjecture over function fields for stable curves with only irreducible fibers, Compositio Math. 105 (1997), 125–140.
[25] A. Moriwaki, A sharp slope inequality for general stable fibrations of curves. J. Reine Angew. Math. 480 (1996), 177–195.
[26] A. Moriwaki, Relative Bogomolov's inequality and the cone of positive divisors on the moduli space of stable curves, J. of AMS, 11 (1998), 569–600.
[27] A. Moriwaki, Arithmetic height functions over finitely generated fields, Invent. Math. 140 (2000), 101–142.
[28] D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, Tata institute of fundamental research, Bombay (1970).
[29] J. Nicaise, Berkovich skeleta and birational geometry, arXiv:1409.5229v1.
[30] R. Pink and D. Roessler, On ψ-invariant subvarieties of semiabelian varieties and the Manin–Mumford conjecture, J. Algebraic Geom. 13 (2004), 771–798.
[31] M. Raynaud, Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion, Invent. math. 71 (1983), 207–233.
[32] M. Raynaud, Sous-variétés dúne variété abélienne et points de torsion, in *Arithmetic and Geometry*, vol.I, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 35 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1983), 327–352.
[33] T. Scanlon, Diophantine geometry from model theory, Bull. Symbolic Logic 7 (2001), 37–57.
[34] T. Scanlon, A positive characteristic Manin–Mumford theorem, Compos. Math. 141 (2005), 1351–1364.
[35] L. Szpiro, E. Ullmo, S. Zhang, Équirépartition des petits points, Invent. Math. 127 (1997), no. 2, 337–347.
[36] E. Ullmo, Positivité et discrétion des points algébriques des courbes, Ann. of Math. 147 (1998), 167–179.
[37] K. Yamaki, Geometric Bogomolov's conjecture for curves of genus 3 over function fields, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 42 (2002), 57–81.
[38] K. Yamaki, Effective calculation of the geometric height and the Bogomolov conjecture for hyperelliptic curves over function fields, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. 48 (2008), 401–443.

[39] K. Yamaki, Geometric Bogomolov conjecture for abelian varieties and some results for those with some degeneration (with an appendix by Walter Gubler: The minimal dimension of a canonical measure), Manuscr. Math. 142 (2013), 273–306.
[40] K. Yamaki, Strict support of canonical measures and applications to the geometric Bogomolov conjecture, to appear in Compositio Mathematica.
[41] K. Yamaki, Trace of an abelian varieties over function fields and the geometric Bogomolov conjecture, to appear in Crelle.
[42] K. Yamaki, Non-density of small points on divisors on abelian varieties and the Bogomolov conjecture, preprint, available at arXiv:1505.03665v3.
[43] K. Yamaki, Geometric Bogomolov conjecture for nowhere degenerate abelian varieties of dimension 5 with trivial trace, preprint, available at arXiv:1506.00708v2.
[44] S. Zhang, Admissible pairing on a curve, Invent. Math. 112 (1993), 171–193.
[45] S. Zhang, Small points and adelic metrics, J. Alg. Geometry 4 (1995), 281–300.
[46] S. Zhang, Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties, Ann. of Math. (2) 147 (1998), no. 1, 159–165.
[47] S. Zhang, Gross-Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves, Invent. Math. 179 (2010), 1–73.

Institute for Liberal Arts and Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan
E-mail address: yamaki.kazuhiko.6r@kyoto-u.ac.jp